LIMIT OF SPIN SQUEEZING IN FINITE TEMPERATURE BOSE-EINSTEIN CONDENSATES

> Yvan Castin, Alice Sinatra LKB, Ecole normale supérieure (Paris, France) Emilia Witkowska Polish Academy of Science (Warsaw, Poland)



OUTLINE OF THE LECTURE

- Introduction and physical motivation
- An exactly solvable toy model
- The multimode case
- Comparison to numerical simulations

INTRODUCTION AND PHYSICAL MOTIVATION

MOTIVATION FOR SPIN SQUEEZING

What are the atomic condensates good for ?

- Main application of cold atoms: Atomic clocks (Salomon, Clairon, 1999)
- With interactions, prepare quantum correlations that are useful for metrology
- They can increase the signal-to-noise ratio in atomic clocks for a given interrogation time (Kitagawa, Ueda, 1993; Wineland, 1994)
- Proof-of-principle experiments with condensates (Oberthaler, 2008; Treutlein, 2010): A gain of a factor 3 on the signal-to-noise ratio

ATOMIC CLOCKS IN BRIEF

What an atomic clock does:

- \bullet Measures the transition frequency ω_{ab} of two-level atoms
- Formally, a two-level atom is a spin 1/2

• Collective spin
$$\mathbf{S} = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{S}_i,$$
 free Hamiltonian:
 $H_0 = \hbar \omega_{ab} S_z$

• At time 0, prepare the collective spin along x. At time τ , measurement of the spin precession angle $\omega_{ab}\tau$ gives transition frequency ω_{ab} (Ramsey method).

Transverse quantum fluctuations: $\Delta S_y \Delta S_z \geq \frac{1}{2} |\langle S_x \rangle|$

ullet Standard quantum limit: All spins along $x,\,\langle S_x
angle=N/2$:

$$\Delta S_y^{\rm st} = \Delta S_z^{\rm st} = \sqrt{N}/2 \longrightarrow \Delta \omega_{ab} = \frac{1}{N^{1/2}\tau}$$

• This is larger than technical noise in good clocks

ONE CAN GAIN WITH SPIN SQUEEZED STATES

- With respect to standard quantum limit, one can in principle reduce a lot ΔS_y , at the expense of increasing ΔS_z
- $ullet \ldots ext{ and of decreasing } |\langle S_x
 angle|$
- Gain $1/\xi$ on the Ramsey signal-to-noise ratio:

$$\xi^{2} = \frac{N\Delta S^{2}_{\perp,\min}}{|\langle \mathbf{S} \rangle|^{2}} < 1 \longrightarrow \Delta \omega_{ab} = \frac{\xi}{N^{1/2}\tau}$$

Kitagawa-Ueda spin squeezing: $H=\hbar\omega_{ab}S_z+\hbar\chi S_z^2$

• Spin-dependent Larmor frequency: Evolution turns the fluctuation circle into a tilted ellipse. At best time:

$$\xi_{\min}^2 \sum_{N \to \infty} \frac{3^{2/3}}{2N^{2/3}}$$

• Realisable with two-mode condensates (Cirac, 2001):

$$S_x+iS_y=a^\dagger b, \quad S_z=(a^\dagger a-b^\dagger b)/2, \quad \chi=rac{g}{\hbar V}$$



In practice, squeezed axis is tilted (rotation required):

$$\Delta S^2_{\perp,\min} = rac{1}{2} \left[\langle S^2_y
angle + \langle S^2_z
angle - |\langle (S_y + iS_z)^2
angle |
ight]$$

WHAT HAPPENS IN REAL LIFE ?

There is decoherence in atomic gases:

- Mainly due to the coexistence of one-body and threebody losses
- Yun Li, Castin, Sinatra, 2008 : Loss events lead to a random dephasing among the two modes

$$\xi_{\min}^2 \mathop{\longrightarrow}\limits_{N \to \infty} \left(rac{5\sqrt{3}}{28\pi} rac{m}{\hbar a}
ight)^{2/3} \left(rac{7}{2} K_1 K_3
ight)^{1/3}$$

An atomic gas is a multimode system:

- At finite temperature, the non-condensed modes constitute a dephasing environment for the condensate mode
- Cf. previous lecture: This leads to phase spreading of the condensate and a finite coherence time
- What is the effect on spin squeezing ?

AN EXACTLY SOLVABLE TOY MODEL

A TWO-MODE MODEL WITH A RANDOM ELEMENT

$$H = \hbar \omega_{ab} S_z + \hbar \chi (S_z^2 + DS_z) \quad [\text{Minguzzi}, 2011]$$

- $\bullet D$ is a Gaussian random real variable of zero mean
- To mimick a random potential (having opposite effects on a and b) with uniform variance and short range correlations, take scaling in thermodynamic limit:

$$rac{\langle D^2
angle}{N} = \epsilon = ext{constant}$$

- D is time independent, but it varies randomly from one experimental realisation to the other
- The model is integrable, S_z being a constant of motion:

$$i\dot{a}=rac{\chi}{2}\left(2S_z+D+rac{1}{2}
ight)a$$

MAIN RESULTS OF THE TOY MODEL

• Best squeezing is in thermodynamic limit and finite:

$$\xi_{\min}^2 \stackrel{\text{lim.therm.}}{=} rac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} + rac{1}{N^{1/2}} rac{2\epsilon^{1/2}}{(1+\epsilon)^{3/2}} + O(1/N)$$

• The corresponding optimal time is divergent:

$$rac{
ho g t_{\min}}{\hbar} \stackrel{ ext{lim.therm.}}{\sim} \left[rac{N}{\epsilon(1+\epsilon)^3}
ight]^{1/4}$$

• In practice, close-to-best-squeezing time:

$$\xi^2(t_\eta) = (1+\eta)\xi_{\min}^2 \Longrightarrow \frac{\rho g t_\eta}{\hbar} \stackrel{\text{lim.therm.}}{\longrightarrow} \frac{1-\eta\epsilon}{(1+\epsilon)(\eta\epsilon)^{1/2}}$$

taking for example $\eta = 0.1$



FAST DERIVATION IN THERMODYNAMIC LIMIT Modulus-phase representation for strongly occupied modes:

$$a=e^{i heta_a}N_a^{1/2}, \hspace{1em} [N_a, heta_a]=i$$

• Much before the phase collapse time, $ho gt/\hbar \ll N^{1/2}$:

$$S_x \simeq rac{N}{2}, \quad S_y \simeq -rac{N}{2}(heta_a - heta_b), \quad S_z = rac{N_a - N_b}{2}$$

• From the Heisenberg equations for the phase operators:

$$(\theta_a - \theta_b)(t) = (\theta_a - \theta_b)(0) - \chi t[2S_z + D]$$

• Taking first the large N then the large time limit:

$$S_y \sim rac{
ho gt}{\hbar} \sigma_y, \quad \sigma_y = S_z + rac{1}{2}D$$
 $\xi_{\min}^2
ightarrow rac{\langle \sigma_y^2
angle \langle S_z^2
angle - \langle \sigma_y S_z
angle^2}{\langle \sigma_y^2
angle \langle S_z^2
angle} = rac{\langle D^2
angle}{N + \langle D^2
angle} = rac{\epsilon}{1 + \epsilon}$

• Also close-to-best-squeezing time can be recovered

THE MULTIMODE CASE

EXPERIMENTAL SEQUENCE

- Start with N atoms in internal state a at thermal equilibrium, no atoms in internal state b
- Spatially homogeneous system, periodic boundary conditions
- At time 0, a $\pi/2$ pulse to prepare spin N/2 along x:

$$\hat{\psi}_a(0^+) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\hat{\psi}_a(0^-) - \hat{\psi}_b(0^-) \right]$$

- Evolution with interactions among each internal state: $g_{aa} = g_{bb} = g$. No crossed interactions: $g_{ab} = 0$
- Mean spin remains aligned along x: one can still use

$$\Delta S^2_{\perp,\min} = rac{1}{2} \left[\langle S^2_y
angle + \langle S^2_z
angle - |\langle (S_y + iS_z)^2
angle |
ight]$$

NUMBER-CONSERVING BOGOLIUBOV THEORY

- Modulus-phase representation for a_0, b_0
- Number conserving non-condensed fields:

$$\hat{\Lambda}_a(\mathrm{r}) = e^{-i heta_a}\hat{\psi}_{a\perp}(\mathrm{r}) = \sum_{\mathrm{k}
eq 0} (U_k b_{a\mathrm{k}} + V_k b_{a-\mathrm{k}}^\dagger) rac{e^{i\mathrm{k}\cdot\mathrm{r}}}{V^{1/2}}$$

- The quasiparticle annihilation operators evolve with phase factors $e^{-i\epsilon_{
 m k}t/\hbar}$
- Evolution of phase operators (previous lecture):

$$egin{aligned} &(heta_a- heta_b)(t)=(heta_a- heta_b)(0^+)-rac{gt}{\hbar V}[2S_z+\mathcal{D}]\ &\mathcal{D} \stackrel{ ext{secular}}{\simeq}_{ ext{approx.}}\sum_{ ext{k}
eq 0}(U_k+V_k)^2(\hat{n}_{a ext{k}}-\hat{n}_{b ext{k}}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{n}_{\sigma \mathbf{k}}$ is a number of Bogoliubov quasi-particles.

BOGOLIUBOV RESULTS

Calculate with a double expansion technique:

- Up to first order in ϵ_{Bog} = non-condensed fraction
- To leading order in 1/N in thermodynamic limit:

$$\mathcal{D} pprox (N\epsilon_{
m Bog})^{1/2}, \quad heta_a - heta_b pprox rac{1}{N^{1/2}}$$

Central result:

 \mathbf{W}

$$\begin{bmatrix} \xi^2(t) = \frac{1 - O(\epsilon_{\text{Bog}})}{(\tau + \sqrt{1 + \tau^2})^2} + \frac{2[\frac{\langle \mathcal{D}^2 \rangle}{N}\tau^2 + O(\epsilon_{\text{Bog}})]}{(\tau + \sqrt{1 + \tau^2})\sqrt{1 + \tau^2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

ith renormalized time $\tau = [\rho gt/(2\hbar)][1 + O(\epsilon_{\text{Bog}})]$

- First term [without $O(\epsilon_{\text{Bog}})$] is Kitagawa-Ueda model
- Second term saturates to minimal squeezing:

$$\xi_{\rm min}^2 = \frac{\langle \mathcal{D}^2 \rangle}{N} = (\rho a^3)^{1/2} f(k_B T / \rho g)$$

$\xi^2(t)$ FOR BOGOLIUBOV THEORY $(ho a^3)^{1/2}=10^{-3}, k_BT/ ho g=1$





VALIDITY CONDITIONS

- System out-of-equilibrium after pulse
- Will thermalize, this is neglected in Bogoliubov theory
- Have the close-to-best-squeezing time

$$rac{
ho g t_\eta}{\hbar} \simeq rac{1}{\eta^{1/2} \xi_{
m min}}$$

smaller than thermalisation time, estimated by Beliaev-Landau damping rates of modes of energy $k_B T$ or ρg :

$$rac{
ho g t_{
m therm}}{\hbar} \propto rac{1}{(
ho a^3)^{1/2}}$$

• Validity condition satisifed in weakly interacting limit:

$$rac{t_\eta}{t_{
m therm}} \propto (
ho a^3)^{1/4} \ll 1$$

COMPARISON TO CLASSICAL FIELD SIMULATIONS





MINIMAL ξ^2 FOR SIMULATIONS

CLOSE-TO-BEST-SQUEEZING TIME FOR SIMULATIONS (filled symbols)



Empty symbols: thermalisation time.

THERMALISATION TIME FOR SIMULATIONS



Summary of results for spin squeezing:

- For atoms with two internal states a and b, apply a $\pi/2$ pulse on a condensate initially in a. Due to interactions, phase state transformed into spin squeezing state
- If injected in an atomic clock, statistical uncertainty on clock frequency after interrogation time τ :

$$\Delta \omega_{ab} = rac{\Delta S_{\perp, \min}}{\langle S_x
angle au} \equiv rac{\xi}{N^{1/2} au}$$

• Spin dynamics is a phase dynamics: $S_z = \text{const}, S_x \approx \text{const},$

$$S_y \propto heta_a - heta_b \propto (N_a - N_b + D) t \partial_N \mu / \hbar$$

where D due to multimode nature of the fields (random dephasing environment). Best squeezing in weakly interacting, thermodynamic limit does not vanish:

$$\xi_{
m min}^2\simeq rac{\langle D^2
angle}{N}$$

- We have extended the theory to the harmonically trapped case: for $k_BT \simeq \mu \simeq 10\hbar\omega$ and $N = 10^6$, $\xi \approx 1/30$ vs $\xi \approx 1/100$ for Kitagawa-Ueda model.
- Reaching such squeezing levels requires reduction of technical noise in experiments.

Our publications on the subject

- Yun Li, Y. Castin, A. Sinatra, "Optimum spin-squeezing in Bose-Einstein condensates with particle losses", Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 210401 (2008).
- A. Sinatra, E. Witkowska, J.-C. Dornstetter, Yun Li, Y. Castin, "Limit of Spin Squeezing in Finite Temperature Bose-Einstein Condensates", Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 060404 (2011).
- A. Sinatra, J.-C. Dornstetter, Y. Castin, "Spin squeezing in Bose- Einstein condensates: Limits imposed by decoherence and non-zero temperature", Front. Phys. 7, 86 (2012).
- A. Sinatra, E. Witkowska, Y. Castin, "Spin squeezing in finite temperature Bose-Einstein condensates: Scaling

with the system size", Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics 203, 87 (2012).

• A. Sinatra, Y. Castin, E. Witkowska, "Limit of spin squeezing in trapped Bose-Einstein condensates", arXiv:1303.0299