Extreme-value statistics of fractional Brownian motion bridges

Mathieu Delorme and Kay Jörg Wiese

CNRS-Laboratoire de Physique Théorique de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure, PSL Research University, Sorbonne Universités, UPMC, 24 rue Lhomond, 75005 Paris, France (Received 10 June 2016; published 2 November 2016)

Fractional Brownian motion is a self-affine, non-Markovian, and translationally invariant generalization of Brownian motion, depending on the Hurst exponent *H*. Here we investigate fractional Brownian motion where both the starting and the end point are zero, commonly referred to as *bridge processes*. Observables are the time t_+ the process is positive, the maximum *m* it achieves, and the time t_{max} when this maximum is taken. Using a perturbative expansion around Brownian motion ($H = \frac{1}{2}$), we give the first-order result for the probability distribution of these three variables and the joint distribution of *m* and t_{max} . Our analytical results are tested and found to be in excellent agreement, with extensive numerical simulations for both $H > \frac{1}{2}$ and $H < \frac{1}{2}$. This precision is achieved by sampling processes with a free end point and then converting each realization to a bridge process, in generalization to what is usually done for Brownian motion.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.94.052105

I. INTRODUCTION

Stochastic processes are a powerful tool to describe the evolution of systems where the microscopic dynamics is not accessible. As an example, Brownian motion, also called the Wiener process, was introduced as an effective probabilistic description for the dynamics of a particle subjected to collisions with its environment [1], be it a gas or a liquid.

An important class of such processes, which contain Brownian motion, are *Markov chains*. For these the evolution depends only on the current position but is independent of previous ones. Stated differently: In a discrete dynamics the increments between successive positions are independent random variables. This *Markov property* is at the center of powerful tools [2] for stochastic processes, such as Greenfunction methods, the Fokker-Plank equation, etc.

Though Markov chains successfully model many systems, there are also relevant systems which do not evolve with independent increments and thus are non-Markovian, i.e., history dependent. Such processes naturally appear for the dynamics of a single point in a spatially extended object, as, for instance, a single spin in a magnet or a marked monomer in a polymer. Their dynamics becomes non-Markovian due to the coupling to the neighbors.

Dropping the Markov property, but keeping the other ingredients of Brownian motion, i.e., Gaussianity, scale invariance and stationarity of the increments defines an enlarged class of random processes, known as fractional Brownian motion (fBm), and parameterized by the *Hurst parameter H*, which quantifies the self-affinity of the process. Its covariance function is

$$G^{-1}(t_1, t_2) = \langle X_{t_1} X_{t_2} \rangle = t_1^{2H} + t_2^{2H} - |t_1 - t_2|^{2H}.$$
 (1)

Since the process is Gaussian, Eq. (1) defines it. Such processes appear in a broad range of contexts: anomalous diffusion [3], diffusion of a marked monomer inside a polymer [4,5], polymer translocation through a pore [5–8], single-file diffusion [9–11] observable experimentally in ion channels [12,13], the dynamics of a tagged monomer [14,15], finance (fractional Black-Scholes, fractional stochastic volatility models, and their limitations) [16–18], hydrology [19,20], and

many more. Their extreme-value statistics has been studied in many references [9-11,21-26].

When studying random processes in a time interval [0, T], quite generally the initial value X_0 is known, and the end point X_T is itself a random variable determined by the random process. On the other hand, there are also cases when one knows the end point X_T . These processes are referred to as *bridge processes* or *bridges*. For a Brownian one refers to *Brownian bridges*.

Using a Fourier decomposition with the same amplitude for each mode, but different values of H, one can generate realizations of fBm bridges and study their dependence on H, see Ref. [27] and Sec. II below. Sample trajectories ranging from H = 0.25 (red) to H = 0.875 (blue) in increments of 0.125 are presented on Fig. 1.

Bridges are useful building blocks in constructing more complicated observables; we will see an application of this idea in Sec. IV. They are also commonly used in constructing refinements of random walks, e.g., for financial modeling [28]. Finally, they appear as the difference from the asymptotic limit in the construction of the *empirical distribution function* [29].



FIG. 1. Examples of fBm bridges for different values of H, generated from the same random numbers using the Davis and Harte procedure [27]; H = 0.25 in red (outmost curves) to H = 0.875 in blue (innermost), with increments of 1/8.

We investigate three observables relevant for bridges:

(i) the time t_{max} the random process achieves its maximum,

(ii) the value *m* of this maximum,

(iii) the time t_+ the process is positive, or its *positive time*, supposing one starts at $X_0 = 0$.

For Brownian motion, and for a bridge terminating at its starting point after time T, both t_{max} and t_{+} have a uniform distribution [30],

$$\mathcal{P}_{H=1/2}^{\text{bridge}}(t_{\max}) = \mathcal{P}_{H=1/2}^{\text{bridge}}(t_{+}) = \frac{1}{T}$$
 (2)

In contrast, for Brownian motion with a free end point (i.e., without constraint) the corresponding probability reads [2,30]

$$\mathcal{P}_{H=1/2}^{\text{free}}(t=t_{\text{max}}) = \mathcal{P}_{H=1/2}^{\text{free}}(t=t_{+}) = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{t(T-t)}}.$$
 (3)

These two results, as well as a way to interpolate between them for the positive-time distribution, can be found in Ref. [31]. For the maximum value m, up to time T, the probability distributions are

$$\mathcal{P}_{H=1/2}^{\text{bridge}}(m) = \frac{2m}{T} e^{-\frac{m^2}{T}} \Theta(m), \tag{4}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{H=1/2}^{\text{free}}(m) = \frac{e^{-\frac{m^2}{4T}}}{\sqrt{\pi T}}\Theta(m).$$
(5)

Properties of fractional Brownian motion were recently investigated within a perturbative approach in $H = 1/2 + \varepsilon$, expanding around Brownian motion, corresponding to H = 1/2 [32–34]. We extend these results by considering *bridge processes*. While observables related to the maximum of an unconstrained fractional Brownian motion were already considered in Refs. [33,34], the observable t_+ is considered for the first time here. Indeed, we will show that at leading order in $\varepsilon = H - \frac{1}{2}$, the probability distributions for t_{max} and t_+ differ, contrary to Brownian motion, and processes with a free end point, where they agree at leading order [35].

Finally, we test our analytical results against numerical simulations for H = 0.4, H = 0.6, and H = 0.66. This is achieved by constructing a *subtracted* process out of each realization of a fBm with free end points. This procedure yields the same statistics as a fractional Brownian bridge and is much more efficiently simulated than an unconstrained fBm, for which one retains only realizations which are bridges.

This article is organized as follows: Section II introduces some general results about Gaussian bridges, as well as their application to fractional Brownian motion.

Section III recalls the methodology developed in Ref. [34] on the perturbative expansion around Brownian motion.

Section IV introduces t_+ , the time spent by the process in the positive half space. We start with a discrete random walk before taking the continuum limit to obtain the distribution of t_+ for Brownian motion. This is used as a starting point for the perturbative expansion described in the previous section, with some technical steps left to Appendix B. The analytical results obtained are then compared to numerical simulations.

Section V presents results on the extreme-value statistics for a fBm bridge: the maximum value *m* as well as the time t_{max} to reach it. Some of these results are derived from a general calculation performed in Ref. [34]; we also present a new and simpler way to obtain the maximum-value distribution.

Several appendices complete our work: Appendix B contains details about the inverse of an integral transform appearing in our calculation and its relation to the Abel transform. Appendix C summarizes the necessary inverse Laplace transforms needed in the main text.

II. PRELIMINARIES: GAUSSIAN BRIDGES

Consider a real-valued process X_t , starting at $X_0 = 0$. We define a *bridge*, denoted X_t^B , to be the same process *conditioned* to be at *a* at time *T*. Its one- and two-point correlation functions are

$$\left\langle X_{t_1}^B \right\rangle = \frac{\left\langle X_{t_1} \delta(X_T - a) \right\rangle}{\left\langle \delta(X_T - a) \right\rangle},\tag{6}$$

$$\left\langle X_{t_1}^B X_{t_2}^B \right\rangle = \frac{\left\langle X_{t_1} X_{t_2} \delta(X_T - a) \right\rangle}{\left\langle \delta(X_T - a) \right\rangle}.$$
(7)

We now assume that X_t is a centered Gaussian process, i.e., $\langle X_t \rangle = 0$ for all t, and that cumulants of order higher than 2 vanish. To express the correlation function of the bridge process in terms of the unconditioned process, we insert the identity $\delta(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx} \frac{dk}{2\pi}$ into the above equations. After some lines of algebra presented in Appendix A, we arrive at

$$\left\langle X_{t_1}^B \right\rangle = a \frac{\left\langle X_{t_1} X_T \right\rangle}{\left\langle X_T^2 \right\rangle},\tag{8}$$

$$\left\langle X_{t_1}^B X_{t_2}^B \right\rangle = \left\langle X_{t_1} X_{t_2} \right\rangle - \left[\left\langle X_T^2 \right\rangle - a^2 \right] \frac{\left\langle X_{t_1} X_T \right\rangle \left\langle X_{t_2} X_T \right\rangle}{\left\langle X_T^2 \right\rangle^2}.$$
 (9)

Consider now the *subtracted* process X_t^S defined from the original process X_t as

$$X_t^S := X_t - (X_T - a) \frac{\langle X_t X_T \rangle}{\langle X_T^2 \rangle}.$$
 (10)

One easily checks that its one- and two-point correlation functions coincide with those of X_t^B given in Eqs. (8) and (9). This is sufficient to conclude that X_t^B and X_t^S are the same processes,

$$X_t^S \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} X_t^B. \tag{11}$$

While this result was derived in Ref. [36] by other methods, the prescription (10) does not seem to be generally known.

Frequently used for Brownian motion $X_t := B_t$ the subtracted process (10) reduces to

$$B_t^S = B_t - \frac{t}{T}(B_T - a).$$
 (12)

This is equivalent in law to a Brownian bridge ending at *a*.

For fractional Bronwian motion with Hurst exponent H, the subtracted term is nonlinear in t, containing the expression

$$f\left(\vartheta := \frac{t}{T}\right) := \frac{\langle X_t X_T \rangle}{\langle X_T^2 \rangle} = \frac{1}{2} [1 + \vartheta^{2H} - (1 - \vartheta)^{2H}].$$
(13)

The equivalence (11) is crucial for the numerical simulations presented in this work. Simulating bridge process using its definition requires us to discard almost all generated paths, while the subtracted process can be constructed from every generated path without loss of statistics.

III. PERTUBATIVE APPROACH TO FBM

We recall here some useful definitions for fBm, as well as the ideas of the perturbative expansion around Brownian motion developed in Refs. [32,34].

First, as fractional Brownian motion is a Gaussian process, it is characterized by its covariance function G^{-1} given in Eq. (1). This covariance function allows us to write an action for the possible realizations of X_t ,

$$S[X] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_1, t_2} X_{t_1} G(t_1, t_2) X_{t_2}.$$
 (14)

This yields the average of any observable O[X] for the fBm within a path-integral formulation,

$$\langle O[X] \rangle = \int \mathcal{D}[X] O[X] e^{-S[X]}.$$
 (15)

To compute observables explicitly from this expression, we expand the action around Brownian motion, corresponding to H = 1/2 in Eq. (1). Writing $H = 1/2 + \varepsilon$, we obtain at first order in ε

$$S[X] = \int_0^T dt_1 \frac{X_{t_1}^2}{4D_{\varepsilon,\tau}} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_0^{T-\tau} dt_1 \int_{t_1+\tau}^T dt_2 \frac{\dot{X}_{t_1} \dot{X}_{t_2}}{|t_2 - t_1|} + O(\varepsilon^2).$$
(16)

The first term is the standard action of Brownian motion, with a rescaled diffusive constant

$$D_{\varepsilon,\tau} = 1 + 2\varepsilon [1 + \ln(\tau)] + O(\varepsilon^2).$$
(17)

The regularization cutoff τ (which is a ultraviolet (UV) cutoff in time) appears in the second term of the action, which is a nonlocal (in time) interaction between derivatives of the process. For the derivation of this expansion we refer to Ref. [32].

Note that the nonlocality in time of the action is a manifestation of the non-Markovian nature of fractional Brownian motion. We will use this formalism to compute observables for bridges of fBm in an ε expansion, following the strategy and using results of Ref. [34].

IV. POSITIVE TIME OF A FBM BRIDGE

In this section, we investigate the distribution of the time spend up to time T by the process X_t in the positive half space. This time, denoted t_+ , is defined by

$$t_{+} := \int_{0}^{T} dt \,\Theta(X_{t}), \qquad (18)$$

where Θ is the Heavyside function, $\Theta(x) = 1$ if x > 0, and $\Theta(x) = 0$ otherwise, and $X_0 = 0$.

Below, we first consider a discrete random walk and derive the Laplace transform (i.e., generating function) of the distribution of t_+ . Taking the continuous-time limit allows us to obtain the distribution of t_+ for Brownian motion. We use this result to construct our perturbative expansion for a fractional Brownian motion bridge and to derive an analytical prediction at order ε .



FIG. 2. Illustration of the reflection principle: Every path emanating from 1 and attaining zero again (blue) is compensated by a *reflected* path emanating from -1 (green).

A. Positive time of a discrete random walk

Consider a discrete random walk X_n with discrete steps ± 1 (without bias), starting at $X_0 = 0$. We denote $N_{n,x}$ the number of paths which go from 0 to x in n steps. This number is nonzero only if x and n have the same parity and x is smaller than n. It can be obtained by retaining the term of order q^x from the generating function for all paths, $(q + q^{-1})^n$, i.e.,

$$\left(q+\frac{1}{q}\right)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n q^i \left(\frac{1}{q}\right)^{n-i} \binom{n}{i}.$$
 (19)

Identifying x = 2i - n yields

$$N_{n,x} = \binom{n}{\frac{n+x}{2}}.$$
(20)

It can also be deduced as follows: Paths ending in x have $n_{+} = \frac{n+x}{2}$ up segments and $n_{-} = \frac{n-x}{2}$ down segments. The number of paths with n_{+} up segments is $\binom{n}{n_{+}}$, which again yields Eq. (20).

Denote by $N_{n,x}^+$ the number of *strictly positive paths*, i.e., $X_i > 0$ for all i > 0, which go from 0 to x > 0 in *n* steps. By the reflexion principle, illustrated in Fig. 2, this is the same as the number of paths that go from 1 to x in n - 1 steps, minus the number of paths which start at -1 and go to x in n - 1 steps,

$$N_{n,x}^{+} = N_{n-1,x-1} - N_{n-1,x+1} = \frac{x}{n} N_{n,x}.$$
 (21)

The ratio

$$\frac{N_{n,x}^+}{N_{n,x}} = \frac{x}{n} \tag{22}$$

is the probability that a path from 0 to x in n steps is *strictly* positive, also known as the Ballot theorem.¹

Another quantity of interest is the number of *excursions*, i.e., paths that go from $X_0 = 0$ to $X_{2n} = 0$ with all intermediate

¹The ballot theorem states that if in an election candidate *A* receives *p* votes and candidate *B* receives *q* votes with p > q, the probability that *A* stays ahead of *B* throughout the count is (p - q)/(p + q), see Refs. [2,37].

positions positive, and which we denote $N_{2n}^{+,\text{first}}$, because the end point is the first zero of the path. Such a path necessarily has $X_{2n-1} = 1$, which gives

$$N_{2n}^{+,\text{first}} = N_{2n-1,1}^{+} = \frac{1}{2n-1} \binom{2n-1}{n} = \frac{(2n-2)!}{n!(n-1)!}.$$
(23)

We now study the time when a random process is positive: A segment S_i from i - 1 to i is considered *positive* if $X_{i-1} + X_i > 0$ and *negative* otherwise. Note that, contrary to the positions X_i , a segment S_i is either positive or negative. The time t_+ a random walk is positive is defined as the number of positive segments.

Denote as $N_{2n,2k}^{\text{pos}}$ the number of bridge paths of length 2n with 2k positive intervals; by convention we set $N_{0,0}^{\text{pos}} := 1$. We can use Eq. (23) to get a recursion relation for $N_{2n,2k}^{\text{pos}}$, with $n \ge 1$,

$$N_{2n,2k}^{\text{pos}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[N_{2i}^{+,\text{first}} N_{2(n-i),2(k-i)}^{\text{pos}} + N_{2i}^{+,\text{first}} N_{2(n-i),2k}^{\text{pos}} \right].$$
(24)

This is illustrated in Fig. 2. In this sum, 2i is the position of the first zero (after the origin) of the path of length 2n. Since the path does not change sign, these 2i first segments are either all positive (first term inside the sum) or negative (second term).

To solve this equation, we introduce two generating functions:

$$\tilde{p}^{\text{pos}}(\nu,\rho) := \sum_{n>0} \sum_{k>0} \nu^{2k} \rho^{2n} \frac{N_{2n,2k}^{\text{pos}}}{2^{2n}},$$
(25)

$$\tilde{p}^{+,\text{first}}(\rho) := \sum_{n>0} \rho^{2n} \frac{N_{2n}^{+,\text{first}}}{2^{2n}} = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}{2}.$$
 (26)

Inserting these definitions into Eq. (24) transforms the recursion relation into an algebraic equation,

$$\tilde{\rho}^{\text{pos}}(\nu,\rho) = [\tilde{\rho}^{+,\text{first}}(\nu\rho) + \tilde{\rho}^{+,\text{first}}(\rho)]\tilde{\rho}^{\text{pos}}(\nu,\rho) + 1. \quad (27)$$

Equation (27) can be solved as

$$\tilde{p}^{\text{pos}}(\nu,\rho) = \frac{1}{1 - \tilde{p}^{+,\text{first}}(\nu\rho) - \tilde{p}^{+,\text{first}}(\rho)}.$$
(28)

This is a geometric sum of the form

$$\tilde{p}^{\text{pos}}(\nu,\rho) = \sum_{n \ge 0} [\tilde{p}^{+,\text{first}}(\nu\rho) + \tilde{p}^{+,\text{first}}(\rho)]^n.$$
(29)

Its interpretation is simple: All bridges can be constructed as a sequence of first-return bridges. In a first-return bridge each factor of ρ comes with a factor of ν for the positive paths and alone for negative paths.

Using the explicit expression of Eq. (26), we obtain

$$\tilde{p}^{\text{pos}}(\nu,\rho) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1 - (\nu\rho)^2} + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}.$$
(30)

Other generating functions can be obtained as well: First, for the probability to return to zero (including the term with zero steps) the latter is

$$\tilde{p}_0(\rho) := \sum_{n \ge 0} \rho^n \frac{N_{n,x}}{2^n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}.$$
(31)

For the probability to return to 0 without having become negative, this is (including the term with zero steps)

$$\tilde{p}_{0}^{\geq 0}(\rho) = \frac{1}{1 - \tilde{p}_{\text{first}}^{+}(\rho)} \equiv \tilde{p}^{\text{pos}}(0,\rho)$$
$$= \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^{2}}}.$$
(32)

The generating function for paths starting at zero and ending in *x* without ever returning to zero can be obtained as well

$$\tilde{p}_{x}^{+}(\rho) := \sum_{n \ge 0} \rho^{n} \frac{N_{n,x}^{+}}{2^{n}}$$
$$= \frac{\rho^{x}}{(1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^{2}})^{x}} = \frac{(1 - \sqrt{1 - \rho^{2}})^{x}}{\rho^{x}}.$$
 (33)

This can be understood by considering the path from the end: One can first go up and down to the starting value *x* for a number $n \ge 0$ steps, before going down by one step, leading to $\tilde{p}_0^{\ge 0}(\rho) \times \frac{\rho}{2}$ for the generating function to (backwards!) reach x - 1. Repeating this *x* times, and using Eq. (32), we arrive at Eq. (33).

B. Propagators in continuous time

We now wish to take the continuum limit. To this aim, we note that in the limit of a time-discretization step $\delta t \rightarrow 0$, the process

$$X_t \simeq \sqrt{2\delta t} X_n$$
, with $n = \text{floor}\left(\frac{t}{\delta t}\right)$ (34)

converges to a Brownian. The normalization ensures that we recover the covariance function (1) with $H = \frac{1}{2}$.

Denote by $\mathcal{P}(t_+, X_0 = x_1, X_T = x_2)$ the probability distribution of the positive time t_+ within the interval [0,T] for a standard Brownian motion X_t , starting at $X_0 = x_1$ and ending at $X_T = x_2$. For our perturbative expansion it is useful to have this in Laplace variables, namely

$$\tilde{W}^{+}(\lambda, s, x_{1}, x_{2}) = \int_{0}^{\infty} dT \int_{0}^{T} dt_{+} e^{-sT - \lambda t_{+}} \mathcal{P}(t_{+}, X_{0} = x_{1}, X_{T} = x_{2}).$$
(35)

We now use the result from the previous section, starting with the special case $x_1 = x_2 = 0$. The probability distribution for a Brownian that its positive time, up to time *T*, is t_+ and that $X_0 = X_T = 0$, i.e., the process is a bridge, can be obtained from the discrete case via

$$\mathcal{P}(t_+, X_T) dt_+ dX_T |_{X_T = 0} \underset{\delta t \to 0}{\simeq} \frac{1}{2^n} N_{n,k}^{\text{pos}}.$$
 (36)

Here $n = \text{floor}(T/\delta t)$, $k = \text{floor}(t_+/\delta t)$, and δt is the time discretization step. This allows us to relate the generating function (30) to the Laplace transform of the continuous-time distribution \tilde{W}^+ with $x_1 = x_2 = 0$, which we denote $\tilde{W}^+(\lambda, s)$,



FIG. 3. In red (bottom curve) a contribution to $\tilde{W}_1^+(\lambda, s, x_1, x_2)$, where the path reaches 0 at least once (here for $x_1 = 0.5$ and $x_2 = 1$). In blue (top curve) the additional contribution to $\tilde{W}_2^+(\lambda, s, x_1, x_2)$, where the path never reaches 0, possible when x_1 and x_2 have the same sign (here for $x_1 = 0.5$ and $x_2 = 1$).

setting $v \to e^{-\delta t\lambda}$, $\rho \to e^{-\delta ts}$ and then taking the limit of $\delta t \to 0$. The measure $dt_+ dB_T$ gives a factor of $\sqrt{2}\delta t^{3/2}$, cf. Eq. (34). This yields

$$\tilde{W}^{+}(\lambda,s)\sqrt{2}\delta t^{3/2} \simeq \tilde{p}^{\text{pos}}(e^{-\delta t\lambda},e^{-\delta ts})\delta t^{2}$$
$$\simeq \frac{2\delta t^{2}}{\sqrt{1-e^{-2\delta t(s+\lambda)}}+\sqrt{1-e^{-2\delta ts}}}$$
$$\simeq \frac{\sqrt{2}\delta t^{3/2}}{\sqrt{\lambda+s}+\sqrt{s}}+O(\delta t^{2}).$$
(37)

Thus

$$\tilde{W}^+(\lambda,s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda+s} + \sqrt{s}}.$$
(38)

From this result for the bridge we obtain the expression for $\tilde{W}(\lambda, s, x_1, x_2)$ by distinguishing two cases, see Fig. 3: The first case is when the process changes sign at least once. It can be decomposed into a constant-sign part (contributing to t_+ or not, depending on the sign of x_1), a bridge part, and another constant sign part ending in x_2 . The other case is when the process never changes sign, which corresponds to the survival probability and can be expressed using the method of images.

We recall the Laplace transform of this propagator from x_1 to x_2 , conditioned that the path has never touched zero [34],

$$\tilde{P}_0^+(x_1, x_2; s) = \frac{e^{-\sqrt{s}|x_1 - x_2|} - e^{-\sqrt{s}|x_1 + x_2|}}{2\sqrt{s}} \Theta(x_1 x_2).$$
(39)

The *normalized* limit $x_1 \rightarrow 0$ is

$$\tilde{P}_0^+(x_2;s) = \lim_{x_1 \to 0} \frac{1}{x_1} \tilde{P}_0^+(x_1, x_2; s) = e^{-\sqrt{s}x_2} \Theta(x_2).$$
(40)

The final result is the sum of two terms,

$$\tilde{W}^{+}(\lambda, s, x_1, x_2) = \tilde{W}_1^{+}(\lambda, s, x_1, x_2) + \tilde{W}_2^{+}(\lambda, s, x_1, x_2).$$
(41)

The first contribution involves a crossing and is a product of two factors (40) and one factor (38),

$$\overline{W}_{1}^{+}(\lambda, s, x_{1}, x_{2})$$

$$= e^{-\sqrt{s+\lambda\Theta(x_{1})}|x_{1}|} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s+\lambda} + \sqrt{s}} e^{-\sqrt{s+\lambda\Theta(x_{2})}|x_{2}|}.$$
(42)

The Θ functions in the exponential are understood as follows: If $x_1 > 0$, then *s* is changed to $s + \lambda$, since this segment contributes both to *T* and t_+ . In the opposite case $x_1 < 0$, this segment contributes only to *T* but not to t_+ , thus *s* remains unchanged. The same argument applies to the last factor as a function of the sign of x_2 .

The contribution when the walk never changes sign is

$$\tilde{W}_{2}^{+}(\lambda, s, x_{1}, x_{2}) = \frac{e^{-\sqrt{s+\lambda\Theta(x_{1})}|x_{1}-x_{2}|} - e^{-\sqrt{s+\lambda\Theta(x_{1})}|x_{1}+x_{2}|}}{2\sqrt{s+\lambda\Theta(x_{1})}}\Theta(x_{1}x_{2}).$$
(43)

This is the propagator (39), with again *s* shifted to $s + \lambda$ if x_1 , and as a consequence also x_2 , are positive.

The result for $\tilde{W}^+(\lambda, s, x_1, x_2)$ can also be obtained by solving the Fokker-Planck equation

$$\partial_{x_2}^2 \tilde{W}^+(\lambda, s, x_1, x_2) = [s + \lambda \Theta(x_2)] \tilde{W}^+(\lambda, s, x_1, x_2) + \delta(x_1 - x_2).$$
(44)

One verifies that $\tilde{W}_1^+ + \tilde{W}_2^+$ is indeed a solution.

As a check, we consider Brownian motion starting at 0 and without any constraint at the end point by integrating \tilde{W}_+ over the last variable,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \, \tilde{W}_{+}(\lambda, s, 0, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s(s+\lambda)}}.$$
(45)

The corresponding probability distribution for t_+ is known as one of the arcsine laws, as given in Eq. (3). Indeed, computing the double Laplace transform from this known result yields Eq. (45):

$$\int_0^\infty dT \int_0^T dt_+ e^{-sT - \lambda t_+} \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{t_+ (T - t_+)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s(s + \lambda)}}.$$
(46)

For a Brownian bridge, i.e., $x_1 = x_2 = 0$, we have

$$\tilde{W}^+(\lambda, s, 0, 0) = \tilde{W}^+(\lambda, s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda + s} + \sqrt{s}}.$$
 (47)

Let us note some subtleties. Equation (47) is the double Laplace transform of the probability distribution that the Brownian process spends a time t_+ in the positive half space and ends in 0 at time T. If we want to have the condtional probability distribution for t_+ , knowing that the process is a bridge, then we need to divide the result by the probability density to return to x = 0 at time T, which is $(2\sqrt{\pi T})^{-1}$. The double Laplace transform to compute is then

$$\int_0^\infty dT \int_0^T dt_+ e^{-sT - \lambda t_+} \frac{1}{T} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi T}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda + s} + \sqrt{s}}.$$
 (48)

Here 1/T is the uniform probability distribution (2) of t_+ for a Brownian bridge, and $(2\sqrt{\pi T})^{-1}$ is the probability density to return to 0 at time T. This indeed reproduces Eq. (47).

C. Scale invariance and a useful transformation

The fact that fBm is a scale-invariant (i.e., self affine) process implies interesting properties for various distributions. For t_+ , and similarly for other temporal observables, the distribution $\mathcal{P}_H^T(t_+)$ for a fBm process defined on [0,T] (with either a free end point or a constrained one) takes the scaling form

$$\mathcal{P}_{H}^{T}(t_{+}) = \frac{1}{T} g\left(\vartheta = \frac{t_{+}}{T}\right). \tag{49}$$

Using this, the double Laplace transform of the distribution can be reformulated using a one-variable transformation:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{H}(\lambda,s) &= \int_{0}^{\infty} dT \int_{0}^{T} dt_{+} e^{-sT - \lambda t_{+}} \mathcal{P}_{H}^{T}(t_{+}) \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} dT \int_{0}^{1} d\vartheta \; e^{-T(s + \lambda\vartheta)} g(\vartheta) \\ &= \frac{1}{s} \int_{0}^{1} d\vartheta \frac{g(\vartheta)}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{s}\vartheta} = \frac{1}{s} \bar{g} \bigg(\kappa = \frac{\lambda}{s} \bigg). \end{split}$$
(50)

The scaling function $g(\vartheta)$ encoding the distribution $\mathcal{P}_{H}^{T}(t_{+})$, and the scaling function $\bar{g}(\kappa)$ encoding its double Laplace transform $\tilde{P}(\lambda, s)$, are related by a simple integral transform which we denote \mathcal{K}_{1} ,

$$\mathcal{K}_1[g](\kappa) := \int_0^1 d\vartheta \; \frac{g(\vartheta)}{1 + \kappa\vartheta} = \bar{g}(\kappa). \tag{51}$$

For the case of interest, a fBm bridge of length *T*, this relation is more complicated since we cannot compute directly the double Laplace transform of $\mathcal{P}_{H}^{\text{bridge}}(t_{+})$ but only the transform of an unnormalized distribution, which we write $Z^{N}(T)\mathcal{P}_{H}^{\text{bridge}}(t_{+})$. As we will see, the normalization factor $Z^{N}(T)$, which is the probability density to return to 0 at time *T*, is a power law,

$$Z^N(T) = C T^{\alpha - 1}, \tag{52}$$

with some constant C. In this case, the double Laplace transform of the unnormalized distribution is computed as

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} dT \int_{0}^{T} dt_{+} e^{-sT - \lambda t_{+}} CT^{\alpha - 1} \mathcal{P}_{H}^{\text{bridge}}(t_{+})$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} d\vartheta \int_{0}^{\infty} dT CT^{\alpha - 1} e^{-T(s + \lambda\vartheta)} g(\vartheta)$$

$$= \frac{C \Gamma(\alpha)}{s^{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{1} d\vartheta \frac{g(\vartheta)}{(1 + \frac{\lambda}{s}\vartheta)^{\alpha}}$$

$$\stackrel{!}{=} \frac{C \Gamma(\alpha)}{s^{\alpha}} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha}[g] \bigg(\kappa = \frac{\lambda}{s}\bigg).$$
(53)

Here we generalized the \mathcal{K} transform to another exponent,

$$\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}[g](\kappa) := \int_{0}^{1} d\vartheta \, \frac{g(\vartheta)}{(1+\kappa\vartheta)^{\alpha}}.$$
 (54)

If $\bar{g}(\kappa) = \mathcal{K}_{\alpha}[g](\kappa)$ is the \mathcal{K}_{α} transform of a function $g(\vartheta)$ normalized to unity, then $\bar{g}(\kappa) \to 1$ for $\kappa \to 0$. If further $g(\vartheta)$ is time-reversal symmetric, $g(\vartheta) = g(1 - \vartheta)$ for $\vartheta \in [0, 1]$, then the function $\bar{g}(\kappa)$ has the symmetry

$$\bar{g}(\kappa) = \frac{1}{(1+\kappa)^{\alpha}} \bar{g}\left(-\frac{\kappa}{1+\kappa}\right).$$
(55)

D. FBm bridge with $H = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$

The path-integral approach presented in Sec. III yields an expression for the (non-normalized) density distribution of t_+ for a bridge,

$$Z^{\text{pos}}(t_{+},T) = \int_{X_{0}=0}^{X_{T}=0} \mathcal{D}[X] \,\delta\left(\int_{0}^{T} dt \,\Theta(X_{t}) - t_{+}\right) e^{-S[X]}.$$
(56)

It is useful to consider its double Laplace transform $(T \rightarrow s$ and $t_+ \rightarrow \lambda$), which we denote with a tilde,

$$\tilde{Z}^{\text{pos}}(\lambda, s) = \int_0^\infty dT \ e^{-sT} \int_{X_0=0}^{X_T=0} \mathcal{D}[X] \ e^{-S[X] - \lambda \int_0^T dt \ \Theta(X_t)}.$$
(57)

Using the ε -expansion (16) for the action, we compute this perturbatively, expanding around Brownian motion. The resulting series in ε has the form

$$\tilde{Z}^{\text{pos}}(\lambda, s) = \tilde{Z}_0^{\text{pos}}(\lambda, s) + \varepsilon \tilde{Z}_1^{\text{pos}}(\lambda, s) + O(\varepsilon^2).$$
(58)

The first term of this expansion, the result for Brownian motion, is, as in Eq. (47), obtained from the propagator \tilde{W}^+ ,

$$\tilde{Z}_{0}^{\text{pos}}(\lambda,s) = \tilde{W}^{+}(\lambda,s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\kappa}+1} = \frac{\bar{g}_{0}(\kappa)}{2\sqrt{s}}.$$
 (59)

Here we denoted

$$\bar{g}_0(\kappa) = \int_0^1 d\vartheta \, \frac{g_0(\vartheta)}{\sqrt{1+\kappa\vartheta}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1+\kappa}+1}.$$
 (60)

This can be inverted to

$$g_0(\vartheta) = 1. \tag{61}$$

This reproduces the known result that the probability distribution (2) for a Brownian bridge is uniform [30,31].

To compute the order- ε term $\tilde{Z}_1^{\text{pos}}(\lambda, s)$, we use the same diagrammatic rules as in Ref. [34], Sec. III D. These rules are easily expressed in Laplace variables, which is why we compute the expansion of $\tilde{Z}^{\text{pos}}(\lambda, s)$. The first order- ε correction comes from the nonlocal interaction in the action, given in the second line of Eq. (16), and can be written as

$$\tilde{Z}_{1\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{pos}}(\lambda,s) = 2 \int_0^{\Lambda} dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_2 \ \tilde{W}^+(\lambda,s,0,x_1)$$
$$\times \partial_{x_1} \tilde{W}^+(\lambda,s+y,x_1,x_2) \partial_{x_2} \tilde{W}^+(\lambda,s,x_2,0).$$
(62)

As explained in Ref. [34], the large-y cutoff Λ , which is necessary as the integral is logarithmically divergent, is linked to the short-time (UV) regularization τ introduced in Eq. (16) by $\Lambda = e^{-\gamma_E}/\tau$. Performing the integrations over space variables and over y, and after some simplifications, we obtain

$$\tilde{Z}_{1A}^{\text{pos}}(\lambda, s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \left[\left(\frac{4}{\sqrt{\kappa+1}} + 4 \right) \ln(\sqrt{\kappa+1} + 1) - \frac{2\kappa + 2 + \sqrt{\kappa+1}}{\kappa} \ln(\kappa+1) + \frac{\ln(s\tau) + 7 - 7\ln(4) + \gamma_{\text{E}}}{\sqrt{\kappa+1} + 1} \right].$$
(63)

We have expressed the result in terms of the dimensionless variable $\kappa = \lambda/s$. The second order- ε correction comes from the rescaling of the diffusive constant, cf. Eq. (17). It is computed by rescaling *T* in the result for the Brownian, setting $T \rightarrow D_{\varepsilon,\tau}T$. In Laplace variables, this is equivalent to writing

$$\tilde{Z}_{0}^{\text{pos}}(\lambda,s) \to \frac{1}{D_{\varepsilon,\tau}} \tilde{Z}_{0}^{\text{pos}}\left(\frac{\lambda}{D_{\varepsilon,\tau}}, \frac{s}{D_{\varepsilon,\tau}}\right).$$
(64)

Extracting the order- ε term gives

$$\tilde{Z}_{1B}^{\text{pos}}(\lambda, s) = -\frac{1 + \ln(\tau)}{2\sqrt{s}} \frac{2}{\sqrt{1 + \kappa} + 1}.$$
(65)

Resumming all order- ε corrections,

$$\tilde{Z}_{1}^{\text{pos}}(\lambda,s) = \tilde{Z}_{1A}^{\text{pos}}(\lambda,s) + \tilde{Z}_{1B}^{\text{pos}}(\lambda,s), \tag{66}$$

the τ dependence vanishes. The ln(s) term in Eq. (63) is proportional to $\bar{g}_0(\kappa)$, such that we can recast it as an order- ε correction to the exponent of the prefactor: $s^{-1/2} \rightarrow s^{H-1} + O(\varepsilon^2)$. This allows us to write the path integral (57) in the form

$$\tilde{Z}^{\text{pos}}(\lambda,s) = \frac{\Gamma(1-H)}{2\sqrt{\pi}s^{1-H}} \big[\bar{g}_0(\kappa) + \varepsilon \bar{g}_1^{\text{pos}}(\kappa) \big] + O(\varepsilon^2).$$
(67)

With this choice of prefactor, the constant *C* in Eq. (53) is $C = (2\sqrt{\pi})^{-1}$, and $\bar{g}_1^{\text{pos}}(\kappa)$ is

$$\bar{g}_{1}^{\text{pos}}(\kappa) = 8\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa+1}}+1\right)\ln(\sqrt{\kappa+1}+1) - 2\frac{2\kappa+2+\sqrt{\kappa+1}}{\kappa}\ln(\kappa+1) + 4\frac{3-4\ln(4)}{\sqrt{\kappa+1}+1}$$
(68)

We recall that this function contains contributions from $\tilde{Z}_{1A}^{\text{pos}}$, $\tilde{Z}_{1B}^{\text{pos}}$ and the expansion of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon) = 1 + \varepsilon[\gamma_{\text{E}} + \ln(4)] + O(\varepsilon^2)$, due to the choice of normalization in Eq. (67).

We know that the distribution of the positive time has the form given in Eq. (49). After expanding it in ε it gives

$$\mathcal{P}_{H=\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}^{\text{bridge}}(t_{+}) = \frac{1}{T} \Big[g_{0}(\vartheta) + \varepsilon g_{1}^{\text{pos}}(\vartheta) \Big] + O(\varepsilon^{2}), \quad (69)$$

where, as before, $\vartheta = t_+/T$.

We have seen in Sec. IV C that the scaling functions $g(\vartheta)$ and $\bar{g}(\kappa)$ are related via the \mathcal{K}_{1-H} transform, where the index of the transformation is fixed by the prefactor s^{H-1} in Eq. (67).

Expanding with respect to ε in the definition of the \mathcal{K} transform gives

$$\bar{g}(\kappa) = \int_{0}^{1} d\vartheta \frac{1}{(1+\kappa\vartheta)^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}} g(\vartheta)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} d\vartheta \frac{1+\varepsilon \ln(1+\kappa\vartheta)}{\sqrt{1+\kappa\vartheta}} [g_{0}(\vartheta)+\varepsilon g_{1}(\vartheta)] + O(\varepsilon^{2})$$

$$= \bar{g}_{0}(\kappa) + \varepsilon \int_{0}^{1} d\vartheta \frac{[g_{1}(\vartheta)+g_{0}(\vartheta)\ln(1+\kappa\vartheta)]}{\sqrt{1+\kappa\vartheta}}$$

$$+ O(\varepsilon^{2}).$$
(70)

The order- ε correction $g_1(\vartheta)$ that we are looking for is then given by

$$g_1(\vartheta) = \mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{-1}[\bar{g}_1(\kappa) - \bar{g}_{0,1}(\kappa)], \tag{71}$$

where we have defined

$$\bar{g}_{0,1}(\kappa) = \int_0^1 d\vartheta \, \frac{\ln(1+\kappa\vartheta)}{\sqrt{1+\kappa\vartheta}} g_0(\vartheta)$$
$$= \frac{2}{\kappa} \{2 + \sqrt{1+\kappa} [\ln(\kappa+1) - 2]\}.$$
(72)

This contribution is valid both for t_+ and t_{max} , since both observables have the same distribution at order zero, and both have the same power law from scaling.

We now have to deal with the inverse $\mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ transform in Eq. (71). This is linked to the Abel transform, on which details are given in Appendix B. The final result for the order- ε correction is

$$g_{1}^{\text{pos}}(\vartheta) = 4 \left[2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\vartheta} + 1} + \ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{\vartheta} + 1}{4\sqrt{\vartheta}}\right) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \vartheta} + 1} + \ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{1 - \vartheta} + 1}{4\sqrt{1 - \vartheta}}\right) \right].$$
(73)

We can check that the integral of $g_1^{\text{pos}}(\vartheta)$ over [0,1] vanishes, such that Eq. (69) is correctly normalized at order ε . We also checked that by computing numerically the $\mathcal{K}_{1/2}$ transform of this result reproduces $\bar{g}_1^{\text{pos}}(\kappa) - \bar{g}_{0,1}(\kappa)$ with excellent precision.

Close to the boundary, the asymptotics is

$$g_1^{\text{pos}}(\vartheta) \simeq_{\vartheta \to 0,1} -2\ln(\vartheta) - 2\ln(1-\vartheta).$$
 (74)

This asymptotics can be recast into a power law consistent with scaling. The distribution of t_+ for a fBm bridge with $H = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ can then be written as

$$\mathcal{P}_{H=\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}^{\text{bridge}}(t_{+}) = \frac{\exp(\varepsilon[\mathcal{F}^{\text{pos}}(\vartheta) - 4])}{T[\vartheta(1 - \vartheta)]^{2H-1}} + O(\varepsilon^{2}).$$
(75)

The scaling function $\mathcal{F}^{\text{pos}}(\vartheta)$ has by definition a vanishing integral and is given by

$$\mathcal{F}^{\text{pos}}(\vartheta) = 4 \left[3 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\vartheta} + 1} + \ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{\vartheta} + 1}{4}\right) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \vartheta} + 1} + \ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{1 - \vartheta} + 1}{4}\right) \right]. \quad (76)$$

E. Numerical results

To test our analytical predictions, we compare them to results from numerical simulations. As in Ref. [34], we construct a large number of fBm paths using the Davis and Harte procedure, cf. Ref. [27] for details on the numerical method. From these samples, we construct a numerical estimation $\mathcal{P}_{H}^{\text{bridge}}(t_{+})$ of the distribution of t_{+} for various values of H, choosing T = 1. This is shown on Fig. 4, where results for the distributions of both t_{+} and t_{max} are given. To compare to the analytical result (76), we extract $\mathcal{F}_{\text{num}}^{\text{pos}}$ from these distributions, using

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{num}}^{\text{pos}}(\vartheta) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \ln \left(T [\vartheta(1-\vartheta)]^{2H-1} \mathcal{P}_{H=\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}^{\text{bridge}}(\vartheta) \right) + 4. \quad (77)$$



FIG. 4. Comparison of the two "arcsine laws" for a fBm bridge with Hurst exponent H = 0.66. Dots represent the distribution extracted from numerical simulations, the plain lines represent the analytical result at order ε given in Eqs. (75) and (82), and the dashed line is the scaling form (identical for both observables).

As is shown in Fig. 5 (left), when $\varepsilon \to 0$, $\mathcal{F}_{num}^{pos}(\vartheta)$ converges to $\mathcal{F}^{pos}(\vartheta)$. The deviation being antisymmetric in ε strongly suggests that there is an order- ε^2 correction to the distribution of t_+ , which we did not calculate here.

V. EXTREMUM OF FBM BRIDGES

In Ref. [34], a general formula was derived for the path integral over fBm paths X_t starting at m_1 , going to $x_0 \approx 0$ at time t_1 , and ending in m_2 at time $t_1 + t_2 = T$, while staying positive, $X_t > 0$ for all $t \in [0,T]$. This quantity, denoted $Z^+(m_1,t_1;x_0;m_2,t_2)$, is the first-order result in an ε expansion. It was used [34] to derive results about extremal properties of fBm in the unconstrained case: Both the distribution of the maximum m, and the time t_{max} when this maximum is achieved, were computed, as well as their joint distribution. Comparison to numerical simulations showed that this result is of great precision for small ε , and of good precision for larger vales of ε .

Here we apply these results to fBm bridges. The general result for $Z^+(m_1,t_1;x_0;m_2,t_2)$, restricted to $m_1 = m_2 = m$, and

choosing $t_1 + t_2 = T$, immediately gives the joint distribution of the maximum *m*, and the time $t_{max} = t_1$ when this maximum is attained. In a second step, we can then integrate over t_1 at *T* fixed, or over *m* at t_1 and t_2 fixed, to obtain the distributions of *m* and t_{max} .

We will finally rederive these results in a simpler way, taking advantage of the scaling transformations introduced in Sec. IV C.

A. Distribution of the time to reach the maximum

Starting with Eq. (44) of Ref. [34] and following the procedure in its Sec. IV C, we express the probability for t_{max} , denoted $\mathcal{P}_{H}^{\text{bridge}}(t_{\text{max}})$, as

$$\mathcal{P}_{H}^{\text{bridge}}(t_{\max}) = \frac{1}{Z^{N}(T)} \int_{0}^{\infty} dm \ Z^{+}(m,t;x_{0};m,T-t).$$
(78)

The integral over *m* accounts for all possible values of the maximum. $Z^N(T)$ is a normalization factor such that the integral over t_{max} of $\mathcal{P}_H^{\text{bridge}}(t_{\text{max}})$ is normalized to unity,

$$Z^{N}(T) = \int_{0}^{T} dt \int_{0}^{\infty} dm Z^{+}(m,t;x_{0};m,T-t)$$

= $\frac{x_{0}^{2-4\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{4\pi}}(1+\varepsilon C_{1}) + O(\varepsilon^{2}).$ (79)

The constant C_1 can be computed from Z^+ , but it is equivalent to require that the order- ε term in Eq. (78) does not change the normalization, such that the distribution $\mathcal{P}_H^{\text{bridge}}(t_{\text{max}})$ remains normalized to 1.

Expanding the distribution of t_{max} in the same way as for Eq. (69), the order- ε term becomes, setting again $\vartheta = t_{\text{max}}/T$, and T = 1.

$$g_1^{\max}(\vartheta) = 2\sqrt{\pi} \int_0^\infty dm \left[Z_1^+(m,\vartheta;x_0;m,1-\vartheta) - C_1 Z_0^+(m,\vartheta;x_0;m,1-\vartheta) \right]$$



FIG. 5. Left: Numerical estimation of the scaling function $\mathcal{F}^{\text{pos}}(\vartheta)$, from top to bottom for H = 0.33 (red dots), H = 0.4 (orange dots), H = 0.6 (green dots), and H = 0.66 (blue dots), compared to the analytical result given in Eq. (76) (plane line). Right: *ibid.* for $\mathcal{F}^{\text{max}}(\vartheta)$ for H = 0.33 (blue dots, bottom) and H = 0.66 (red dots, top), the analytical result (plane line) is given in Eq. (83). For both plots, and for each value of H, the statistics is done with 5×10^6 sampled paths, discretized with $N = 2^{12}$ points.

$$= 2[6(\sqrt{1-\vartheta}+\sqrt{\vartheta})-3\vartheta \ln(1-\vartheta)-3(1-\vartheta)\ln(\vartheta) + (4-3\vartheta)\ln(2-\vartheta) + (3\vartheta+1)\ln(\vartheta+1) + (6\vartheta-4)\operatorname{arcth}(\sqrt{1-\vartheta}) + (2-6\vartheta)\operatorname{arcth}(\sqrt{\vartheta}) - 8 - 4\ln(2)].$$
(80)

This result will be checked from Eq. (108) given below. Demanding that $g_1^{\max}(\vartheta)$ has integral zero fixed the constant C_1 to $C_1 = 4 \ln(2) - \gamma_E$.

Close to the boundary, the correction has the same asymptotics as in the calculation for t_+ , namely

$$g_1^{\max}(\vartheta) \simeq_{\vartheta \to 0,1} -2\ln(\vartheta) - 2\ln(1-\vartheta),$$
 (81)

which indicates the same change in the power-law behavior of $\mathcal{P}_{H}^{\text{bridge}}(t_{\text{max}})$. Again taking an exponential resummation of the order- ε correction, we obtain a formula similar to Eq. (75) but with a different scaling function $\mathcal{F}^{\text{max}}(\vartheta)$,

$$\mathcal{P}_{H=\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}^{\text{bridge}}(t_{\max}) = \frac{\exp(\varepsilon[\mathcal{F}^{\max}(\vartheta) - 4])}{T[\vartheta(1 - \vartheta)]^{2H-1}} + O(\varepsilon^2).$$
(82)

 $\mathcal{F}^{\max}(\vartheta)$ is a bounded function of $\vartheta \in [0,1]$ and can be expressed from Eq. (80) as

$$\mathcal{F}^{\max}(\vartheta) = g_1^{\max}(\vartheta) + 2\ln\left(\vartheta(1-\vartheta)\right) + 4.$$
(83)

The constant 4 was added in Eq. (83) and subtracted in Eq. (82) to have $\int_0^1 d\vartheta g_1^{\max}(\vartheta) = \int_0^1 d\vartheta \mathcal{F}^{\max}(\vartheta) = 0.$

The two distributions, for t_+ and t_{max} , at order ε are plotted in Fig. 4. While both functions have the same power-law behavior for ϑ close to 0 or 1, their difference is clearly visible. The result (83) for $\mathcal{F}^{max}(\vartheta)$ is compared with great precision to numerical simulations on Fig. 5 (right).

B. The maximum-value distribution

Similarly to the distribution of t_{\max} , the distribution of the maximum value $m = \max_{t \in [0,T]} X_t$ can be expressed from the result for Z^+ given in Eq. (44) of Ref. [34]:

$$\mathcal{P}_{H}^{\text{bridge}}(m) = \frac{1}{Z^{N}(T)} \int_{0}^{T} dt \ Z^{+}(m,t;x_{0};m,T-t).$$
(84)

This calculation is rather cumbersome, but it is possible to give a simpler derivation, where we do not constrain paths to go close to the boundary but construct $\mathcal{P}_{H}^{\text{bridge}}(m)$ by taking a derivative of its cumulative distribution, the survival probability, conditioned such that the end point of the process is the same as the starting point. In this framework, the order- ε correction to $\mathcal{P}_{H}^{\text{bridge}}(m)$ can, due to the nonlocal term in the action (16), be expressed in Laplace variables $(T \to s)$ using the diagrammatic rules of Ref. [34]. The integrals to be computed are

$$\tilde{Z}_{1A}^{\max}(m,s) = 2\partial_m \int_0^{\Lambda} dy \int_{x_1, x_2 > 0} \tilde{P}_0^+(m, x_1; s)$$
$$\times \partial_{x_1} \tilde{P}_0^+(x_1, x_2; s + y) \partial_{x_2} \tilde{P}_0^+(x_2, m; s)$$

$$= 2(a+1)e^{2a}\operatorname{Ei}(-4a) - 2\operatorname{Ei}(-2a)$$
$$+ 2e^{-2a}\left\{a\left[\ln\left(\frac{m^2}{4\tau}\right) - \ln(a) - 1\right]\right.$$
$$+ \ln\left(\frac{2\tau}{m^2}\right) - \gamma_{\rm E}\right\},\tag{85}$$

where $a := \sqrt{sm}$ is a dimensionless variable, $\Lambda = e^{-\gamma_{\rm E}}/\tau$, and the propagator $\tilde{P}_0^+(x_1, x_2; s)$ is defined in Eq. (39). To deal with the inverse Laplace transform, we use formulas (G10) and (G11) derived in Ref. [34], plus similar formulas collected in Appendix C. The final result for the correction after the inverse Laplace transformation is

$$Z_{1A}^{\max}(m,T) = \frac{ze^{-z^2}}{\sqrt{\pi}T} \left\{ 2z\sqrt{\pi}e^{z^2} \operatorname{erfc}(z) + 4(1-z^2)\mathcal{J}(z^2) + 2z^2 \left[\ln\left(\frac{Tz^2}{\tau}\right) + \gamma_{\rm E} - 1 \right] + \ln\left(\frac{\tau^3}{T^3z^8}\right) - 4\gamma_{\rm E} + 1 \right\}.$$
(86)

We introduced the scaling variable $z := m/\sqrt{T}$. The special function \mathcal{J} defined in Ref. [34] is

$$\mathcal{J}(x) = \frac{1}{2}\pi \operatorname{erfi}(\sqrt{x}) - x_2 F_2\left(1, 1; \frac{3}{2}, 2; x\right).$$
(87)

For a Brownian bridge we have

$$Z_0^{\max}(m,T) = \frac{m}{\sqrt{\pi}T^{\frac{3}{2}}}e^{-\frac{m^2}{T}},$$
(88)

which, after normalization, allows us to recover the distribution (4).

The second order- ε correction, which comes from the rescaling of the diffusive constant, is obtained by replacing $T \rightarrow D_{\varepsilon,\tau}T$ in Eq. (88); for the order- ε term this gives

$$Z_{1B}^{\max}(m,T) = \frac{ze^{-z^2}}{\sqrt{\pi}T}(2z^2 - 3)(1 + \ln\tau).$$
(89)

Resumming these corrections up to order ε cancels all τ dependencies; recasting the relevant corrections into the power-law prefactor and the Gaussian tail and expressing the result in terms of the dimensionless variable $y := m/T^H$ finally yields

$$\mathcal{P}_{H}^{\text{bridge}}(m) = 2\sqrt{\pi}T^{H} \Big[Z_{0}^{\max} + \varepsilon \Big(Z_{1A}^{\max} + Z_{1B}^{\max} \Big) \Big] + O(\varepsilon^{2})$$
$$= \frac{2y^{1-8\varepsilon}}{T^{H}} e^{-y^{2}A_{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \mathcal{G}(y)} + O(\varepsilon^{2}).$$
(90)

The special function \mathcal{G} appearing here is

$$\mathcal{G}(y) = -4(y^2 - 1)\mathcal{J}(y^2) + 2\sqrt{\pi}e^{y^2}y \operatorname{erfc}(y) + 2y^2[\ln(4y^2) + \gamma_{\rm E}] - 4\gamma_{\rm E} - 2.$$
(91)

This result contains several nontrivial predictions: First, at small *m*, the distribution $\mathcal{P}_{H}^{\text{bridge}}(m)$ has a power law given by $m^{1-8\varepsilon+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)}$. This can be obtained by considering the probability starting at *m* to remain positive (survive) up to time *T*,

$$\mathcal{S}(T,m) := \int_0^m dm_1 \,\mathcal{P}_H(m_1). \tag{92}$$

In this relation the dependence of $\mathcal{P}_H(m)$ on *T* is implicit. It is valid both for the case of a bridge and of a free end point. To survive in a bridge in the limit of $m \to 0$ demands survival both in the beginning and at the end, and thus we expect that for small *m*

$$\mathcal{S}^{\text{bridge}}(T,m) \sim [\mathcal{S}^{\text{free}}(T,m)]^2.$$
 (93)

Using the result of Ref. [34] that $\mathcal{P}_{H}^{\text{free}}(m) \sim m^{\frac{1}{H}-2}$ implies that

$$\mathcal{P}_{H}^{\text{bridge}}(m) \sim m^{\frac{2}{H}-3}.$$
(94)

This is in agreement with our order- ε result.

Second, at large m, $\mathcal{P}_{H}^{\text{bridge}}(m)$ has a Gaussian tail with the dimensionless variable $y^2 = z^2/T^{2\varepsilon} = m^2/T^{2H}$ and a nontrivial number $A_{\varepsilon} = 1 + 4\varepsilon \ln(2) + O(\varepsilon^2)$. We will see in the next section why this number appears and how we can compute it exactly (i.e., for all H).

Third, there is a crossover in the power-law behavior at large *y*, given by the asymptotic behavior of the function $\mathcal{G}(y)$,

$$\mathcal{G}(y) \simeq 4\ln(y).$$
 (95)

This yields a subleading power-law behavior at large m,

$$\mathcal{P}_{H}^{\text{bridge}}(m) e^{A_{\varepsilon} \frac{m^{2}}{T^{2H}}} \sim m^{1-4\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{2})}.$$
(96)

This result agrees with the asymptotic form given by Piterbarg [38], who showed that²

$$\mathcal{P}_{H}^{\text{bridge}}(m) e^{A_{\varepsilon} \frac{m^{2}}{T^{2H}}} \simeq Cm^{\frac{1}{H}-1}.$$
(97)

C. Optimal path for fBm and the tail of the maximum distribution

In this section, we study the tail of the maximum distribution for fBm. Contrary to a process with a free end point, the maximum is not taken at the end, and, as a consequence, the tail is not simply given by the known propagator evaluated at time T at position m.

We start with some general considerations: If we choose $t_1, \ldots, t_n \in \mathbb{R}$, then the density distribution for a fBm path X_t to take values $X_{t_1} = x_1, \ldots, X_{t_n} = x_n$ can be expressed, using the Gaussian nature of the process X_t , as

$$\mathcal{P}_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{ij} x_i \mathcal{M}_{ij} x_j\right).$$
(98)

The matrice \mathcal{M}_{ij} is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij}^{-1} = \left\langle X_{t_i} X_{t_j} \right\rangle = t_i^{2H} + t_j^{2H} - |t_i - t_j|^{2H}.$$
(99)

To study bridges, consider now two points, $x_1 = x$ at time $t_1 = t$ with 0 < t < T and $x_2 = 0$ at time $t_2 = T$. The probability distribution of x given $x_T = 0$ is then given by

$$\mathcal{P}(x_t = x | x_T = 0) = \mathcal{P}_2(x, 0) = \exp\left(-\frac{\mathcal{M}_{11} x^2}{2}\right).$$
 (100)

The matrix element in question is (with $\vartheta = t/T$)

$$\frac{\mathcal{M}_{11}}{2} = \frac{1}{T^{2H}} \frac{1}{4\vartheta^{2H} - [\vartheta^{2H} - (1 - \vartheta)^{2H} + 1]^2}.$$
 (101)

It takes its minimum for $\vartheta = \frac{1}{2}$. The tail for the maximum of a bridge is thus given by Eq. (100) with the matrix element \mathcal{M}_{11} in Eq. (101) evaluated at $\vartheta = \frac{1}{2}$:

$$\mathcal{P}_{T}(m) \approx \mathcal{P}(x_{T/2} = m | x_{T} = 0)$$
$$= e^{-\frac{m^{2}}{T^{2H}} \frac{4^{H}}{4 - 4^{H}} + O(\ln(m))}.$$
(102)

This heuristic argument is consistent with the result from our ε expansion and allows us to predict the *exact* value of the constant A_{ε} ,

$$A_{\varepsilon} = \frac{4^{H}}{4 - 4^{H}} = 1 + 4\ln(2)\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^{2}).$$
(103)

We can go further and study the shape of the optimal path with conditions $X_0 = X_1 = 0$ and $X_{1/2} = 1$. This is done by considering $\mathcal{P}_n(x, 1, 0)$, taken at time $t_1 = t$, $t_2 = 1/2$, and $t_3 = T = 1$. We then find $X_t^{SP} = x$ which minimizes the "energy" $-\ln \mathcal{P}_3(x, m, 0)$. This is for $0 \le \vartheta \le \frac{1}{2}$ achieved for

$$X_{t}^{SP} = \frac{m}{4-4^{H}} [2 - 2(1 - 2\vartheta)^{2H} + 4^{H}(1 - \vartheta)^{2H} + 4^{H}\vartheta^{2H} - 4^{H}].$$
 (104)

For $\frac{T}{2} < t \le T$ one has $X_t^{\text{SP}} = X_{T-t}^{\text{SP}}$. This is represented for m = 1 and T = 1 in red in Fig. 6 for various values of H. It is interesting to observe that this *optimal path* is not a straight line going from $X_0 = 0$ to $X_{1/2} = 1$ and back to $X_1 = 0$, but at t = 1/2 peaked for H < 1/2, and smoothened for H > 1/2. It is equivalently interesting to compare this to the optimal path which goes from $X_0 = 0$ to $X_{1/2} = 1$, without imposing any constraint at t = 1, plus a similar segment from $X_{1/2} = 1$ to $X_1 = 0$ without constraint on X_0 (blue dashed lines). This would indeed be the optimal path if there were no correlations between times t < 1/2 and t > 1/2.

We finally note that the limit of $H \rightarrow 1$ is nontrivial, and given by (see right side of Fig. 6)

$$X_t^{\text{SP}} = \frac{m}{\ln(4)} \{ (1 - 2\vartheta)^2 \ln(1 - 2\vartheta) - 2(1 - \vartheta)^2 \ln(1 - \vartheta) + \vartheta [\ln(16) - 2\vartheta \ln(4\vartheta)] \}, \quad 0 \le t \le \frac{T}{2}, \quad (105)$$

and $X_t^{\text{SP}} = X_{T-t}^{\text{SP}}$ for $\frac{T}{2} < t < T$. We expect this also to be the lowest-energy fluctuation for the fBm bridge.

D. Joint Distribution of *m* and t_{max}

To obtain the joint distribution of m and t_{max} , we start with Eq. (44) of Ref. [34] and specify $m_1 = m_2 = m$. This is equivalent, in the notations of Ref. [34], to setting

$$y_1 = \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}\vartheta^H}, \quad y_2 = \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}(1-\vartheta)^H} \text{ where } \vartheta = \frac{t_{\text{max}}}{T}.$$
 (106)

The resulting expression can more compactly be written in terms of

$$\upsilon := \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}[\vartheta(1-\vartheta)]^H}.$$
(107)

²Remarkably, the theorem D.3 of Piterbarg [38] even predicts the constant *C* in this relation, which, apart from known functions, contains the Pickands constant \mathcal{H}_{2H} . This is discussed in [41].



FIG. 6. Plain red line: Optimal paths for fBm conditioned to $X_0 = 0$, $X_{1/2} = 1$, and $X_1 = 0$, for, from left to right, H = 0.1, H = 0.25, and H = 1. The blue dashed line represents the optimal paths when neglecting the correlation between [0, 1/2] and [1/2, 1].

Recasting terms proportional to $\ln(\vartheta)$, $\ln(1 - \vartheta)$, and $\ln(\upsilon)$ into the prefactor, we get

$$Z^{+}(m,\vartheta;x_{0};m,1-\vartheta) = \frac{x_{0}^{2-4\varepsilon}\upsilon^{2-8\varepsilon}e^{-\frac{\upsilon^{2}}{2}}}{2\pi[\vartheta(1-\vartheta)]^{3H-1}}\{1+\varepsilon[\mathcal{F}(\upsilon,\vartheta)+C_{2}]\}+O(\varepsilon^{2})$$
(108)

with

Ĵ

$$F(\upsilon,\vartheta) = \frac{\mathcal{I}(\upsilon(1-\vartheta)) + \mathcal{I}(\upsilon\vartheta) - \mathcal{I}(\upsilon) + 2(\upsilon^2 - 1)}{\upsilon^2 \vartheta(1-\vartheta)} - \frac{\mathcal{I}(\upsilon(1-\vartheta))}{1-\vartheta} - \frac{\mathcal{I}(\upsilon\vartheta)}{\vartheta} + 2\mathcal{I}(\upsilon\sqrt{1-\vartheta}) + 2\mathcal{I}(\upsilon\sqrt{\vartheta}) + \upsilon^2[\ln(2\upsilon^2) + \gamma_{\rm E}] - 12 - 8\ln(2).$$
(109)

The definition of the special function \mathcal{I} is given in Eq. (C1).

$$C_2 = 4[2 - \gamma_{\rm E} + \ln(2)]. \tag{110}$$

First, this result allows us to recover Eqs. (80) and (83), noting that

$$\mathcal{F}^{\max}(\vartheta) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty d\upsilon \, \upsilon^2 e^{-\frac{\upsilon^2}{2}} \mathcal{F}(\upsilon,\vartheta). \tag{111}$$

As we defined $\int_0^1 d\vartheta \mathcal{F}^{\max}(\vartheta) = 0$, there is an additional constant C_2 , related to the prefactor $\upsilon^{-8\varepsilon}$ in Eq. (108).

Second, we can extract the conditional probability of v, given ϑ . This is interesting since for a Brownian the latter

depends only on the variable v introduced in Eq. (107),

$$\mathcal{P}_{H=\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{bridge}}(\upsilon|\vartheta) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\upsilon^2 e^{-\frac{\upsilon^2}{2}}.$$
 (112)

For a generic value of $H = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$, our ε expansion, recast in an exponential form, gives

$$\mathcal{P}_{H}^{\text{bridge}}(\upsilon|\vartheta) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\upsilon^{\frac{2}{H}-2}e^{-\frac{\upsilon^{2}}{2}+\varepsilon[\mathcal{F}(\upsilon,\vartheta)+C_{2}-\mathcal{F}^{\max}(\vartheta)]} + O(\varepsilon^{2}).$$
(113)

The functions $\mathcal{F}(\upsilon, \vartheta)$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\max}(\vartheta)$ are defined in Eqs. (109) and (83). The exponent in Eq. (113) can be derived from scaling. To this aim, note that the probability to have a maximum of *m* up to time *T* is

$$\mathcal{P}_H(m) = \partial_m \mathcal{S}(T, m). \tag{114}$$

On the other hand, the probability that the maximum m is taken at time T is

$$\mathcal{P}_H(m|T) = \partial_T \mathcal{S}(T,m). \tag{115}$$

We conclude that for small m

$$\mathcal{P}_{H}^{\text{bridge}}(m|T) \sim \frac{m}{T} \mathcal{P}_{H}^{\text{bridge}}(m) \sim m^{\frac{2}{H}-2} \sim \upsilon^{\frac{2}{H}-2}.$$
 (116)

This exponent, written in Eq. (113), agrees with the perturbative expansion

$$\frac{2}{H} - 2 = 2 - 8\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2).$$
 (117)



FIG. 7. Numerical results for $P_H(\upsilon|\vartheta)$ for $H = \frac{2}{5}$ (left), $H = \frac{3}{5}$ (middle), and $H = \frac{2}{3}$ (right). The values of ϑ are chosen as $\vartheta = 0$, $\vartheta = 0.05$, $\vartheta = 0.25$, to $\vartheta = 0.5$, the maximum useful value due to the symmetry $\vartheta \to 1 - \vartheta$. We used $N = 2^{18}$ points and 5×10^6 samples.

Finally, using the result (101) and expressing it in terms of v predicts a tail $e^{-A'_{\varepsilon}v^2}$, with

$$A_{\varepsilon}' = \frac{2[\vartheta(1-\vartheta)]^{2H}}{4\vartheta^{2H} - [\vartheta^{2H} - (1-\vartheta)^{2H} + 1]^2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \bigg[1 + \varepsilon^2 \frac{[(1-\vartheta)\ln(1-\vartheta) + \vartheta\ln(\vartheta)]^2}{2(1-\vartheta)\vartheta} + O(\varepsilon^3) \bigg].$$
(118)

Thus our resummation (113) is correct to order ε ; whether at higher order it is preferential to use υ introduced in Eq. (107) with A'_{ε} given in Eq. (118) or whether one should keep $e^{-\upsilon^2/2}$ for the tail and redefine υ can only be answered after a second-order calculation.

We verified the prediction (113) for $\mathcal{P}_{H}^{\text{bridge}}(\upsilon|\vartheta)$ numerically, see Fig. 7. The agreement is good for H close to $\frac{1}{2}$ for $\varepsilon = -\frac{1}{10}$ and $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{10}$ (left two figures). Corrections of order ε^{2} can be anticipated, since our numerical results for both $\varepsilon = -\frac{1}{10}$ and $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{10}$ show approximately the same (small) deviation from the analytics, independent of the sign of ε .

These putative $O(\varepsilon^2)$ corrections also explain the larger systematic deviations for $H = \frac{2}{3}$, i.e., $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{6}$ (right plot).

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this article we developed a systematic analytical framework to treat bridge processes for fractional Brownian motion, in an expansion around Brownian motion. We considered the probability of the time t_+ that a bridge process is positive and of the time t_{max} it achieves its maximum. For a Brownian bridge, both t_+ and t_{max} have the same uniform probability distribution. For a fractional Brownian bridge, both observables have the same power-law behavior for times close to the beginning and end, but the subleading scaling functions differ considerably. We calculate them to first order in ε and verified them to high precision with numerical simulations. We also obtained and checked the joint distribution of the maximum *m* and the time t_{max} when this maximum is taken. These tests were possible due to the development of an efficient algorithm to generate samples of fBm bridges.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank P. Krapivsky, K. Mallick, A. Rosso, and T. Sadhu for stimulating discussions and Paris Science Lettre (PSL) Research University for support through Grant No. ANR-10-IDEX-0001-02-PSL.

APPENDIX A: DETAILS ON CORRELATION FUNCTIONS FOR THE BRIDGE

Starting from Eqs. (6) and (7), and inserting the identity $\delta(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx} \frac{dk}{2\pi}$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \langle \delta(X_T - a) \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \left\langle e^{ik(X_T - a)} \right\rangle \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} e^{-ika} e^{-\frac{k^2}{2} \langle X_T^2 \rangle} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\frac{a^2}{2\langle X_T^2 \rangle}}}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sqrt{\langle X_T^2 \rangle}} , \end{split}$$
(A1)

$$\begin{split} \left\langle X_{t_1}\delta(X_T - a) \right\rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \left\langle X_{t_1}e^{ik(X_T - a)} \right\rangle \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} e^{-ika} ik \left\langle X_{t_1}X_T \right\rangle e^{-\frac{k^2}{2} \left\langle X_T^2 \right\rangle} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\frac{a^2}{2\langle X_T^2 \rangle}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sqrt{\langle X_T^2 \rangle}} \frac{a \left\langle X_{t_1}X_T \right\rangle}{\langle X_T^2 \rangle}, \end{split}$$
(A2)
$$\begin{split} \left\langle X_{t_1}X_{t_2}\delta(X_T - a) \right\rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \left\langle X_{t_1}X_{t_2}e^{ik(X_T - a)} \right\rangle \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} e^{-ika} e^{-\frac{k^2}{2} \left\langle X_T^2 \right\rangle} \end{split}$$

$$\times \left[\langle X_{t_1} X_{t_2} \rangle - k^2 \langle X_{t_1} X_T \rangle \langle X_{t_2} X_T \rangle \right]$$

$$= \frac{e^{-\frac{a^2}{2\langle X_T^2 \rangle}}}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sqrt{\langle X_T^2 \rangle}} \left[\langle X_{t_1} X_{t_2} \rangle + \left(a^2 - \langle X_T^2 \rangle\right) \right]$$

$$\times \frac{\langle X_{t_1} X_T \rangle \langle X_{t_2} X_T \rangle}{\langle X_T^2 \rangle^2} \right]. \quad (A3)$$

From the first to the second lines of the last two equations we used Wick's theorem and the fact that X_t has mean zero. Putting everything together, we arrive at Eqs. (8) and (9).

APPENDIX B: ABEL TRANSFORM AND INVERSION OF $\mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ TRANSFORM

For a real function $g(\vartheta)$ nonvanishing on the interval [0,1], we consider the transformation $\mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ defined as

$$\bar{g}(\kappa) \equiv \mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}[g](\kappa) := \int_0^1 \frac{g(\vartheta)}{\sqrt{1 + \kappa \vartheta}} \, d\vartheta. \tag{B1}$$

The question is how to reconstruct g, knowing \overline{g} .

The Abel transform F of a function f is defined as [39,40]

$$F(y) = \int_{y}^{\infty} \frac{2rf(r)}{\sqrt{r^{2} - y^{2}}} dr.$$
 (B2)

The inverse formula, allowing us to recover f from F, is

$$f(r) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{F'(y)}{\sqrt{y^2 - r^2}} \, dy.$$
(B3)

To make the link with $\mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}$, we change variables from ϑ to $r := \sqrt{\vartheta}$ in Eq. (B1) and introduce $f(r) := g(\vartheta = r^2)$. Then, for $\kappa > 0$,

$$\bar{g}(\kappa) = \int_0^1 \frac{f(r)}{\sqrt{1 + \kappa r^2}} 2r \, dr = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \int_0^\infty \frac{f(r)r}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{\kappa} + r^2}} \, dr.$$
(B4)

In the last equality, we changed the upper integration limit, using f(r) = 0 for r > 1. We now continue $\bar{g}(\kappa)\sqrt{\kappa}$ in the complex plane from real positive to real negative κ by setting i@ 1..21

$$\bar{g}(\kappa)\sqrt{\kappa} = \int_{v}^{\infty} \frac{2rf(r)}{\sqrt{r^2 - v^2}} dr + \int_{0}^{v} \frac{2rf(r)}{\sqrt{r^2 - v^2}} dr$$

mith and O This since

$$= F(y) + e^{-i\varphi/2}G(y).$$
 (B5)

We have split the integral over r into two parts: The first part is a real function $F(y) \in \mathbb{R}$, which is the Abel transform of f(r). The second term is purely imaginary because of the denominator; which of the two possible branches is taken depends on how we continued $\bar{g}(\kappa)\sqrt{\kappa}$, choosing either of the branches $\varphi = \pm \pi$. This means that we can express the Abel transform F(y) of f(r) from $\bar{g}(\kappa)$ as

$$F(y) = \Re[\bar{g}(\kappa)\sqrt{\kappa}|_{\kappa=-1/y^2}], \tag{B6}$$

where \Re denotes the real part. We can now use formula (B3) to invert the Abel transform.

Since f(r) vanishes for r > 1, according to the definition (B2) F(y) also vanishes for y > 1. One can thus reduce the upper bound in Eq. (B3) to 1. Finally reintroducing the function $g(\vartheta)$ instead of f(r), we get

$$g(\vartheta) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\sqrt{\vartheta}}^{1} \frac{F'(y)}{\sqrt{y^2 - \vartheta}} \, dy, \tag{B7}$$

where F(y) is defined from $\bar{g}(\kappa)$ in Eq. (B6). We now want to apply this to compute $g_1(\vartheta)$ from Eq. (71). We need to compute the inverse $\mathcal{K}_{1/2}$ transform of

$$\bar{g}_{1}^{\text{pos}}(\kappa) - \bar{g}_{0,1}(\kappa) = 8\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa+1}} + 1\right)\ln(\sqrt{\kappa+1} + 1) - 16\frac{\ln(4) - 1}{\sqrt{\kappa+1} + 1} - \frac{4(\kappa + \sqrt{\kappa+1} + 1)\ln(\kappa+1)}{\kappa}.$$
 (B8)

From scaling, we expect that close to the boundary

$$g_1(\vartheta) \simeq -2\ln(\vartheta(1-\vartheta)). \tag{B9}$$

To simplify the calculation, we subtract this divergent part. Define

$$\bar{g}^{\ln}(\kappa) := \int_0^1 d\vartheta \, \frac{\ln(\vartheta(1-\vartheta)) + 2}{\sqrt{1+\kappa\vartheta}}$$
$$= \frac{4[\ln(2)-1]}{1+\sqrt{\kappa+1}} + \frac{2\sqrt{\kappa+1}\ln(\kappa+1)}{\kappa}$$
$$+ \frac{4(1-\sqrt{\kappa+1})\ln(\sqrt{\kappa+1}+1)}{\kappa}. \tag{B10}$$

Setting
$$\bar{g}(\kappa) := \bar{g}_1^{\text{pos}}(\kappa) - \bar{g}_{0,1}(\kappa) + 2\bar{g}^{\ln}(\kappa)$$
 in Eq. (B6) yields

$$F(y) = -\frac{8y^2 \ln(y)}{\sqrt{1-y^2}} - 24\sqrt{1-y^2} \ln(2) - \frac{8(y^2-1)\arcsin(y)}{y}.$$
 (B11)

Computing the integral (B7) finally gives

$$g(\vartheta) = \mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{-1} [\bar{g}_1(\kappa) - \bar{g}_{0,1}(\kappa) + 2\bar{g}^{\ln}(\kappa)]$$
$$= 4 \left[3 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \vartheta} + 1} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\vartheta} + 1} + \ln\left(\frac{(\sqrt{\vartheta} + 1)(\sqrt{1 - \vartheta} + 1)}{16}\right) \right].$$
(B12)

Adding the logarithmic terms, we recover the result (73) given in the main text.

APPENDIX C: INVERSE LAPLACE TRANSFORMS NECESSARY FOR THE MAXIMUM OF THE BRIDGE AND OTHER USEFUL RELATIONS

In this Appendix we give a table of useful relations for the inverse Laplace transforms encountered in this article.

All appearing hypergeometric functions can be eliminated by using two special functions, introduced in Refs. [32–34] and named $\mathcal{I}(x)$ and $\mathcal{J}(x)$,

$$\mathcal{I}(x) = \frac{1}{6}x^4 {}_2F_2\left(1,1;\frac{5}{2},3;\frac{x^2}{2}\right) + \pi(1-x^2)\mathrm{erfi}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right) + \sqrt{2\pi}e^{\frac{x^2}{2}}x + 2 - 3x^2,$$
(C1)

$$\mathcal{J}(x) = \frac{1}{2}\pi \operatorname{erfi}(\sqrt{x}) - x_2 F_2\left(1, 1; \frac{3}{2}, 2; x\right).$$
(C2)

These functions are related to each other by the relations

$$\mathcal{I}(x) = 2 + 2(1 - x^2) \mathcal{J}\left(\frac{x^2}{2}\right) + \sqrt{2\pi} e^{\frac{x^2}{2}} x \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right),$$
(C3)
$$\mathcal{I}(x) = -2 e^{\frac{x^2}{2}} \partial_x^2 \left[e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \mathcal{J}\left(\frac{x^2}{2}\right) \right].$$
(C4)

To arrive at these identities, and to express everything in terms of one of these two functions, two nontrivial relations between hypergeometric functions were used (they can be checked by Taylor expansion to high order):

$$-3{}_{2}F_{2}\left(1,1;\frac{3}{2},2;\frac{x^{2}}{2}\right) + {}_{2}F_{2}\left(1,1;2,\frac{5}{2};\frac{x^{2}}{2}\right) + \frac{6}{x^{2}}\left[\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{e^{\frac{x^{2}}{2}}}{x}\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right) - 1\right] = 0, \quad (C5) - x^{3}\left[3{}_{2}F_{2}\left(1,1;\frac{3}{2},2;-\frac{x^{2}}{2}\right) + {}_{2}F_{2}\left(1,1;2,\frac{5}{2};\frac{x^{2}}{2}\right)\right] + \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\left[3\pi x\operatorname{erfi}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right) - 3\sqrt{2\pi}e^{\frac{x^{2}}{2}}\right] + 6x = 0. \quad (C6)$$

MATHIEU DELORME AND KAY JÖRG WIESE

We now express the needed inverse Laplace transforms either in terms of \mathcal{I} or \mathcal{J} , depending on which form is more compact. (Note that each function appears naturally in a given context [34].)

Transforms involving only $e^{-\sqrt{s}}$, and powers of \sqrt{s} are elementary,

$$\mathcal{L}_{s \to t}^{-1}[e^{-\sqrt{s}}] = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4t}}}{2\sqrt{\pi}t^{3/2}},$$
(C7)

$$\mathcal{L}_{s \to t}^{-1}[e^{-\sqrt{s}}\sqrt{s}] = -\frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4t}}(2t-1)}{4\sqrt{\pi}t^{5/2}},$$
(C8)

$$\mathcal{L}_{s \to t}^{-1} \left[\frac{e^{-\sqrt{s}}}{\sqrt{s}} \right] = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4t}}}{\sqrt{\pi t}}.$$
(C9)

Transforms with an additional factor of ln(s) are

$$\mathcal{L}_{s \to t}^{-1}[e^{-\sqrt{s}}\sqrt{s}\ln(s)] = -\frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4t}}}{4\sqrt{\pi}t^{5/2}} \bigg\{ -2t \,\mathcal{I}\bigg(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2t}}\bigg) + (2t-1)[\ln(4t) + \gamma_{\rm E}]\bigg\},\tag{C10}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{s \to t}^{-1} \left[\frac{e^{-\sqrt{s}} \ln(s)}{\sqrt{s}} \right] = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4t}}}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \left[2 \mathcal{J} \left(\frac{1}{4t} \right) - \ln(4t) - \gamma_{\mathrm{E}} \right],\tag{C11}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{s \to t}^{-1}[e^{-\sqrt{s}}\ln(s)] = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4t}}}{4\sqrt{\pi}t^{5/2}} \left[2\mathcal{J}\left(\frac{1}{4t}\right) - \ln(4t) - \gamma_{\rm E} \right] - \frac{\operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}}\right)}{t}.$$
(C12)

Transforms involving the exponential integral function are

$$\mathcal{L}_{s \to t}^{-1}[\operatorname{Ei}(-\sqrt{s})] = -\frac{\operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}}\right)}{2t},$$
(C13)

$$\mathcal{L}_{s \to t}^{-1}[e^{\sqrt{s}}\mathrm{Ei}(-2\sqrt{s})] = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4t}}}{4\sqrt{\pi}t^{3/2}} \left[2\mathcal{J}\left(\frac{1}{4t}\right) + \ln(t) - \gamma_{\mathrm{E}} \right] - \frac{\mathrm{erfc}\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}}\right)}{2t},\tag{C14}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{s \to t}^{-1}[\sqrt{s}e^{\sqrt{s}}\mathrm{Ei}(-2\sqrt{s})] = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4t}}}{8\sqrt{\pi}t^{5/2}} \left\{ 2t \ \mathcal{I}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2t}}\right) + (2t-1)[\ln(t) - \gamma_{\mathrm{E}}] - 8t \right\},\tag{C15}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{s \to t}^{-1} \left[\frac{e^{\sqrt{s}} \mathrm{Ei}(-2\sqrt{s})}{\sqrt{s}} \right] = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4t}}}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \left[\gamma_{\mathrm{E}} - 2 \mathcal{J}\left(\frac{1}{4t}\right) - \ln(t) \right].$$
(C16)

- A. Einstein, Über die von der molekularkinetischen Theorie der Wärme geforderte Bewegung von in ruhenden Flüssigkeiten suspendierten Teilchen, Ann. Phys. 322, 549 (1905).
- [2] W. Feller, Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1950).
- [3] J.-P. Bouchaud and A. Georges, Anomalous diffusion in disordered media: Statistical mechanisms, models and physical applications, Phys. Rep. 195, 127 (1990).
- [4] J.-C. Walter, A. Ferrantini, E. Carlon, and C. Vanderzande, Fractional Brownian motion and the critical dynamics of zipping polymers, Phys. Rev. E 85, 031120 (2012).
- [5] A. Amitai, Y. Kantor, and M. Kardar, First-passage distributions in a collective model of anomalous diffusion with tunable exponent, Phys. Rev. E 81, 011107 (2010).
- [6] A. Zoia, A. Rosso, and S. N. Majumdar, Asymptotic Behavior of Self-Affine Processes in Semi-Infinite Domains, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 120602 (2009).

- [7] J. L. A. Dubbeldam, V. G. Rostiashvili, A. Milchev, and T. A. Vilgis, Fractional Brownian motion approach to polymer translocation: The governing equation of motion, Phys. Rev. E 83, 011802 (2011).
- [8] V. Palyulin, T. Ala-Nissila, and R. Metzler, Polymer translocation: the first two decades and the recent diversification, Soft Matter 10, 9016 (2014).
- [9] P. L. Krapivsky, K. Mallick, and T. Sadhu, Large Deviations in Single-File Diffusion, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 078101 (2014).
- [10] P. L. Krapivsky, K. Mallick, and T. Sadhu, Dynamical properties of single-file diffusion, J. Stat. Mech. (2015) P09007.
- [11] P. L. Krapivsky, K. Mallick, and T. Sadhu, Tagged particle in single-file diffusion, J. Stat. Phys. 160, 885 (2015).
- [12] V. Kukla, J. Kornatowski, D. Demuth, I. Girnus, H. Pfeifer, L. V. C. Rees, S. Schunk, K. K. Unger, and J. Kärger, NMR studies of single-file diffusion in unidimensional channel zeolites, Science 272, 702 (1996).

- [13] Q.-H. Wei, C. Bechinger, and P. Leiderer, Single-file diffusion of colloids in one-dimensional channels, Science 287, 625 (2000).
- [14] S. Gupta, A. Rosso, and C. Texier, Dynamics of a Tagged Monomer: Effects of Elastic Pinning and Harmonic Absorption, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 210601 (2013).
- [15] D. Panja, Probabilistic phase space trajectory description for anomalous polymer dynamics, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 23, 105103 (2011).
- [16] N. J. Cutland, P. E. Kopp, and W. Willinger, Stock price returns and the Joseph effect: A fractional version of the Black-Scholes model, in *Seminar on Stochastic Analysis, Random Fields and Applications*, Vol. 36, Progress in Probability, edtied by E. Bolthausen, M. Dozzi, and F. Russo (Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995), pp. 327–351.
- [17] L. C. G. Rogers, Arbitrage with fractional Brownian motion, Math. Financ. 7, 95 (1997).
- [18] S. Rostek and R. Schöbel, A note on the use of fractional Brownian motion for financial modeling, Econ. Model. 30, 30 (2013).
- [19] B. B. Mandelbrot and J. R. Wallis, Noah, Joseph, and operational hydrology, Water Resour. Res. 4, 909 (1968).
- [20] F. J. Molz, H. H. Liu, and J. Szulga, Fractional Brownian motion and fractional Gaussian noise in subsurface hydrology: A review, presentation of fundamental properties, and extensions, Water Resour. Res. 33, 2273 (1997).
- [21] Y. G. Sinai, Distribution of the maximum of a fractional Brownian motion, Russ. Math. Surv. 52, 359 (1997).
- [22] S. N. Majumdar, Persistence in nonequilibrium systems, Curr. Sci. 77, 370 (1999).
- [23] G. M. Molchan, Maximum of a fractional Brownian motion: Probabilities of small values, Commun. Math. Phys. 205, 97 (1999).
- [24] I. Nourdin, Selected Aspects of Fractional Brownian Motion, Bocconi & Springer Series (Springer-Verlag, Mailand, 2012).
- [25] F. Aurzada, On the one-sided exit problem for fractional Brownian motion, Electron. Commun. Probab. 16, 392 (2011).
- [26] K. Oerding, S. J. Cornell, and A. J. Bray, Non-markovian persistence and nonequilibrium critical dynamics, Phys. Rev. E 56, R25 (1997).

- [27] A. B. Dieker, Simulation of fractional Brownian motion, Ph.D. thesis, University of Twente, 2004.
- [28] L. B. G. Andersen and V. V. Piterbarg, *Interest Rate Modeling*. *Volume 1: Foundations and Vanilla Models* (Atlantic Financial Press, London, New York, 2010).
- [29] A. W. Van der Vaart, *Asymptotic Statistics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998).
- [30] P. Lévy, Sur certains processus stochastiques homogènes, Comp. Math. 7, 283 (1940).
- [31] Y. Nikitin and E. Orsingher, The intermediate arc-sine law, Stat. Proba. Lett. 49, 119 (2000).
- [32] K. J. Wiese, S. N. Majumdar, and A. Rosso, Perturbation theory for fractional Brownian motion in presence of absorbing boundaries, Phys. Rev. E 83, 061141 (2011).
- [33] M. Delorme and K. J. Wiese, The Maximum of a Fractional Brownian Motion: Analytic Results from Perturbation Theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 210601 (2015).
- [34] M. Delorme and K. J. Wiese, Perturbative expansion for the maximum of fractional Brownian motion, Phys. Rev. E 94, 012134 (2016).
- [35] M. Delorme, T. Sadhu, and K. J. Wiese, The 3 arcsine laws for fractional Brownian motion (unpublished).
- [36] D. Gasbarra, T. Sottinen, and E. Valkeila, Gaussian bridges, in *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, The Abel Symposium 2005 (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2007), pp. 361–382.
- [37] J. Bertrand, Solution d'un problème, Compt. Rend. Acad. Sci. Paris 105, 369 (1887).
- [38] V. I. Piterbarg, Asymptotic Methods in the Theory of Gaussian Processes and Fields, Translations of Mathematical Monographs (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1995), Vol. 148.
- [39] N. H. Abel, Auflösung einer mechanischen Aufgabe, J. Reine Angew. Math. 1, 153 (1826).
- [40] R. Bracewell, *The Fourier Transform and Its Applications* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965).
- [41] M. Delorme, A. Rosso, and K. J. Wiese, Pickands' constant at first order in an expansion around Brownian motion, arXiv:1609.07909.