## **Interacting Crumpled Manifolds: Exact Results to all Orders of Perturbation Theory**

HENRYK A. PINNOW<sup>1</sup> and KAY JÖRG WIESE<sup>2</sup>(\*)

<sup>1</sup> Fachbereich Physik, Universität Essen, 45117 Essen, Germany

<sup>2</sup> KITP, Kohn Hall, University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA

PACS. 82.65. Dp – Thermodynamics of surfaces and interfaces. PACS. 05.40.+j – Fluctuation phenomena, random processes and Brownian motion. PACS. 11.10.-z – Field theory.

**Abstract.** – In this letter, we report progress on the field theory of polymerized tethered membranes. For the toy-model of a manifold repelled by a single point, we are able to sum the perturbation expansion in the strength  $g_0$  of the interaction *exactly* in the limit of internal dimension  $D \rightarrow 2$ . This exact solution is the starting point for an expansion in 2 - D, which aims at connecting to the well studied case of polymers (D = 1). We here give results to order  $(2 - D)^4$ , where again all orders in  $g_0$  are resummed. This is a first step towards a more complete solution of the self-avoiding manifold problem, which might also prove valuable for polymers.

Introduction. – The statistical mechanics of fluctuating lines and surfaces is a subject of great interest, which poses fundamental problems and has remained challenging for more than 20 years. One particular universality class, which has been studied extensively in the past, are polymerized or "tethered" membranes [1–9]. These are two-dimensional networks, where the bond-length fluctuates, but never breaks up. In the high-temperature regime nearest-neighbor interactions can be modeled by a harmonic potential. Neglecting self-avoidance, the membrane is extremely crumpled and highly folded, a property, which is characterized by the universal radius-of-gyration exponent  $\nu$ , defined as

$$R_q \sim L^{\nu} , \qquad \nu = 0 , \qquad (1)$$

where  $R_g$  denotes the radius of gyration, and L is the linear internal size. Physically,  $0 \le \nu \le 1$ , but in the absence of interactions, the radius of gyration grows only logarithmically with the internal size.

For a more realistic description one has to take into account self-avoidance, whose continuum version can be modeled by the generalized Edwards-Hamiltonian [10] with 2-particle contact interaction

$$\mathcal{H}[r] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{x \in \mathcal{M}} \left( \nabla r(x) \right)^2 + \frac{b_0}{2} \int_{x \in \mathcal{M}} \int_{y \in \mathcal{M}} \delta^d(r(x) - r(y)) , \qquad (2)$$

where  $x \in \mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{R}^D$  labels points in the manifold  $\mathcal{M}$ , while  $r(x) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  points to their position in external space. The Edwards model successfully describes long polymers [11, 12]. Much effort has been spent

<sup>(\*)</sup> Email: pinnow@theo-phys.uni-essen.de, wiese@itp.ucsb.edu

<sup>©</sup> EDP Sciences

to extend these results to membranes (D = 2). The problem is, that the usual  $\varepsilon$ -expansion about the upper critical dimension is not feasible, since the latter is infinity. An important idea was therefore to generalize (2) to manifolds of arbitrary internal dimension D. One then studies the D-dimensional manifold problem, and finally continuous analytically to D = 2. A major breakthrough was the proof of perturbative renormalizability [5,6] to all orders in perturbation theory. This procedure was carried out to two loops [13, 14] resulting in a radius-of-gyration exponent of  $\nu \approx 0.86$ . This is a strong correction over the non-interacting theory with  $\nu = 0$ , but may still be in contradiction to Monte-Carlo simulations, which often but not consistently find tethered membranes in a flat phase with  $\nu = 1$  [15–18]. While simulations are very demanding and therefore not yet conclusive, it is nevertheless compelling to try to identify possible mechanisms, which might render flexible membranes flat at all scales. Such a mechanism has indeed been found for rigid membranes, where fluctuations strongly renormalize rigidity [1, 19].

Here we study a simplified model, and solve it *exactly* at D = 2. It corresponds to a gaussian elastic manifold interacting by excluded volume with a single  $\delta$ -like impurity in external space [20]

$$\mathcal{H}[r] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{x \in \mathcal{M}} (\nabla r(x))^2 + g_0 \int_{x \in \mathcal{M}} \delta^d(r(x)) .$$
(3)

As a first step to prove renormalizability of the full problem, [3,4] analysed (3) and indeed showed renormalizability to all orders in perturbation theory for all dimensions 0 < D < 2. (3) has essential features in common with SAM: Its critical embedding dimension tends to infinity as the internal dimension approaches D = 2. This can be read off from the dimension of the coupling  $g_0$ , which is

$$[g_0] =: \varepsilon = D - \frac{2 - D}{2}d.$$
(4)

Thus, calculating universal quantities within the  $\varepsilon$ -expansion necessitates similar techniques as for SAM, and we expect to learn more from the solution of the toy-model (3).

Recently, we have been able [21] to sum the perturbative expansion *exactly* in D = 2. The key-idea was, that when approaching D = 2, the correlator which enters all perturbative calculations, becomes essentially flat. In order to check the consistency of the results obtained by that method, one would like to go away from D = 2, and hopefully smoothly connect to polymers in D = 1, which are well enough studied to check almost any quantity. In [21], we have done a first step in that direction, and obtained quite promising results in first order in (2 - D). However, the expansion in (2 - D) is not a loop-expansion, and at each order in (2 - D), we have to resum an infinite number of diagrams. It turns out, that the results thus become very sensitive to the regularization procedure. In this letter, we pursue this road further, calculating contributions to the partition-function *exactly* for a manifold of toroidal or spherical shape. We obtain the expansion up to order  $(2 - D)^4$ . This information can then be used to extrapolate away from D = 2. However, since we find that at D = 2, the fixed point is at infinity, one needs additional constraints, i.e. a scaling function, in order to be able to use this result. We have not been able to settle this question, despite the tremendous information contained in the perturbative result. We thus present our "raw data", together with some possible scaling-functions, encouraging the reader to think himself about the missing link.

*Perturbation theory.* – Physical observables are derived from the partition function  $\mathcal{Z}(g_0)$ . We use it to define the effective coupling of the problem,

$$g(z) := \frac{L^{\varepsilon}}{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}}} (\mathcal{Z}(0) - \mathcal{Z}(g_0)) , \qquad (5)$$

which only depends on the dimensionless combination  $z := g_0 L^{\varepsilon}$ .  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}}$  denotes the total internal volume of the manifold. Accordingly, the perturbation expansion reads

$$g(z) = \frac{g_0 L^{\varepsilon}}{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}}} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-g_0)^N}{(N+1)!} \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{N+1} \int_{x_i} \tilde{\delta}^d(r(x_i)) \right\rangle_0 , \qquad (6)$$

where the normalization of the  $\delta$ -distribution has been chosen to be  $\tilde{\delta}^d(r(x)) = (4\pi)^d \delta(r(x))$ =  $\int_k e^{ikr(x)}$  with  $\int_k := \pi^{-d/2} \int d^d k$ . Performing the averages within the gaussian theory with normalization  $\frac{1}{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}}} \int_x \left\langle \tilde{\delta}^d(r(x)) \right\rangle_0 = 1$ , one arrives at

$$g(z) = \frac{g_0 L^{\varepsilon}}{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}}} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-g_0)^N}{(N+1)!} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{N+1} \iint_{k_i x_i} \right) \tilde{\delta}^d \left( \sum_i k_i \right) e^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N+1} k_i k_j C(x_i - x_j)}, \tag{7}$$

where  $C(x) := \frac{1}{2d} \langle (r(x) - r(0))^2 \rangle_0$  denotes the correlator, and the  $\tilde{\delta}^d(\sum_i k_i)$  stems from the integration over the global translation. Performing the shift  $k_{N+1} \to k_{N+1} - \sum_{i=1}^N k_i$  and integrating out the momenta  $k_1, \ldots, k_{N+1}$  one obtains

$$g(z) = z \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-z)^N}{(N+1)!} \left( \prod_{\ell=1}^N \int_{x_\ell} \right) (\det \mathfrak{D})^{-d/2} ,$$
 (8)

where we have factored out  $L^{\varepsilon}$  from the loop integration (such that the integrals now run over a torus of size 1), and the matrix elements  $\mathfrak{D}_{ij}$  are  $\mathfrak{D}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}[C(x_{N+1}-x_i)+C(x_{N+1}-x_j)-C(x_i-x_j)].$ 

Complete resummation of the perturbation series in D = 2. – Let us compute the N-loop order of (8): The asymptotic behavior of the propagator C(x) for large arguments is of the form

$$C(x) \simeq c_0 + \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \frac{x}{a} , \qquad (9)$$

where  $c_0$  denotes some positive constant (note  $C(x) \ge 0$ ), and the logarithmic growth (for large x) is universal. In D = 2 we need an additional short distance cutoff a. The loop integrals, denoted by  $I_N$ , only depend on the dimensionless combination L/a. We can (somehow arbitrary) decompose det  $\mathfrak{D} = (\prod_{i=1}^{N} \mathfrak{D}_{ii}) \det \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}$  with

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \frac{C(x_{N+1} - x_j) - C(x_i - x_j)}{C(x_{N+1} - x_i)} \right] \xrightarrow{a \to 0} \frac{1}{2}, \ i \neq j,$$

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{ii} = 1.$$
(10)

One has in the limit of  $a \rightarrow 0$ 

$$\left(\prod_{\ell=1}^{N} \int_{x_{\ell}}\right) (\det \mathfrak{D})^{-d/2} =: I_{N}(L/a) = I_{1}^{N}(L/a) (\det \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}^{(0)})^{-d/2}.$$
(11)

The matrix  $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}^{(0)}$  denotes the limit  $a \to 0$  of (10). It can be written as  $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{I} + N\mathbb{P})$ , where  $\mathbb{I}$  denotes the identity and  $\mathbb{P}$  the projector onto  $(1, 1, \ldots, 1)$ , whose image has dimension 1, such that

det  $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}^{(0)} = \frac{1+N}{2^N}$ . Furthermore, to one loop  $I_1(L/a) \stackrel{a\to 0}{=} c_1(\ln \frac{L}{a})^{-d/2}$ , where  $c_1$  denotes some (finite) constant. One then arrives at

$$g(z) = z \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(-z \left(\ln \frac{L}{a}\right)^{-d/2}\right)^N}{N! (1+N)^{d/2+1}} \,.$$
(12)

A factor  $c_1 2^{d/2}$  has been absorbed into a rescaling of both z and g. The above series can be analysed in the strong coupling limit  $z \to \infty$ . For this purpose we define functions  $f_k^d(z)$  together with their integral representation

$$f_k^d(z) := z^k \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-z)^N}{N!(k+N)^{d/2}} = \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})} \int_0^{\infty} \mathrm{d}r \ r^{d/2-1} \mathrm{e}^{-z\mathrm{e}^{-r}-kr}$$
$$= \frac{(\ln z)^{d/2-1}}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})} \int_0^z \mathrm{d}y \ y^{k-1} \mathrm{e}^{-y} \left(1 - \frac{\ln y}{\ln z}\right)^{d/2-1} \xrightarrow{z \to \infty} \frac{\Gamma(k)}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})} (\ln z)^{d/2-1} .$$
(13)

Thus in the limit of large z, the effective coupling (12) approaches the asymptotic form

$$g(z) = \frac{\left(\ln\frac{L}{a}\right)^{d/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{d+2}{2})} \left[\ln\left(z\left(\ln\frac{L}{a}\right)^{-d/2}\right)\right]^{d/2} .$$
(14)

Observables. – It immediately follows from this behavior that the correction-to-scaling exponent  $\omega$ , which is defined as the slope of the RG- $\beta$ -function at the fixed point, equals zero. Here, it is useful to study the  $\beta$ -function as a function of the bare coupling z, which reads  $\beta(z) = -\varepsilon z \ \partial g(z)/\partial z$ . Then, the correction-to-scaling exponent is obtained from the limit  $z \to \infty$  of

$$\omega(z) := -\frac{\varepsilon z}{\beta(z)} \frac{\partial \beta(z)}{\partial z} \,. \tag{15}$$

The value of  $\omega$  can be checked in a Monte-Carlo experiment by considering plaquette-density functions on a membrane with self-avoidance in only a single  $\delta$ -like defect. Be the partition function  $\mathcal{Z}^{\diamond} = \int \mathcal{D}[r] \tilde{\delta}^d(r(y)) \exp[-\mathcal{H}[r]]$ , then the plaquette-density at the defect is obtained from  $\langle n \rangle_{\diamond} = \frac{L^{\varepsilon}}{\partial g/\partial z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\frac{\partial g}{\partial z})$ , where  $\frac{\partial g}{\partial z} = \mathcal{Z}^{\diamond}$ . One furthermore needs the density-density correlation at this point, which is defined as  $\langle n^2 \rangle_{\diamond} = \frac{L^{2\varepsilon}}{\partial g/\partial z} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} (\frac{\partial g}{\partial z})$ . In the limit of strong coupling  $\langle n \rangle_{\diamond} = \frac{1}{g_0} (1 + \frac{\omega}{\varepsilon})$  and  $\langle n^2 \rangle_{\diamond} = \frac{1}{q_0^2} (2 + \frac{3\omega}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\omega^2}{\varepsilon^2})$ , such that the ratio

$$\frac{\langle n \rangle_{\diamond}}{\sqrt{\langle n^2 \rangle_{\diamond}}} \xrightarrow{z \to \infty} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon + \omega}{2\varepsilon + \omega}} \xrightarrow{\omega = 0} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(16)

becomes universal and should be measurable in simulations.

(2-D)-expansion. – Let us now analyse the theory below D = 2. Due to the renormalizability in 0 < D < 2 and the existence of an  $\varepsilon$ -expansion we expect the renormalized coupling to reach a finite fixed point in the strong coupling limit as soon as D < 2. This approach is characterized by a powerlaw decay of the form

$$g(z) = g^* + S(\ln z) \, z^{-\omega/\varepsilon} + O(z^{-\omega_1/\varepsilon}) \,, \tag{17}$$

where S is some scaling-function growing at most sub-exponentially and  $\omega_1 > \omega > 0$ , with  $\omega$  defined in (15). In order to gain information about g below D = 2 one has to expand the loop integrand  $(\det \mathfrak{D})^{-d/2}$  in powers of 2-D. For convenience, we take  $a \to 0$ . The propagator (9) takes in infinite D-space the form  $C(x) = |x|^{2-D}/(S_D(2-D))$ , where  $S_D = 2\pi^{D/2}/\Gamma(\frac{D}{2})$  denotes the volume of the D-dimensional unit-sphere. The factor  $(S_D(2-D))^{-1}$  replaces  $\ln(\frac{L}{a})$  and is absorbed into a rescaling of the field and the coupling according to  $r \to r (S_D (2-D))$  and  $g_0 \to g_0 (S_D (2-D))^{d/2}$ , such that the factors of  $(\ln \frac{L}{a})^{-d/2}$  in (12) and (14) are replaced by  $(S_D (2-D))^{d/2}$ . The propagator in the rescaled variable can then be written as

$$C(x) = 1 + (2 - D) \mathbb{C}(x) .$$
(18)

where for convenience of notation we allow  $\mathbb{C}(x)$  to depend itself on D.

Of course, on a closed manifold of finite size, C(x) needs to be modified, but the form (18) is independent of the shape of the manifold. Accordingly, one may expand the matrix  $\mathfrak{D}$ , which is  $\mathfrak{D} = \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}^{(0)} + (2-D) \mathbb{D}$ , where  $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}^{(0)}$  is defined as before and coincides with the limit  $D \rightarrow 2$  when inserting the above C(x) into  $\mathfrak{D}$ . Moreover,  $\mathbb{D}$  is of the same form as  $\mathfrak{D}$ , but each C(x) has been replaced with  $\mathbb{C}(x)$ :  $\mathbb{D}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}[\mathbb{C}(x_{N+1} - x_i) + \mathbb{C}(x_{N+1} - x_j) + \mathbb{C}(x_i - x_j)]$ . Then,

$$\det \mathfrak{D} = \det \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}^{(0)} \exp \left\{ \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \ln(1 + (2 - D) [\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}^{(0)}]^{-1} \mathbb{D}) \right] \right\} ,$$
(19)

where  $[\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}^{(0)}]^{-1} = 2(\mathbb{I}-\frac{N}{N+1}\mathbb{P})$  denotes the inverse matrix of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}^{(0)}$ . Expanding the integrand (19) in powers of (2-D) and the coupling  $g_0$ , all orders in  $g_0$  can again be summed, with the difference that the integrands are no longer constant. Expanding up to the *n*th order in 2-D involves *n* powers of  $\mathbb{C}(x)$ . Introducing the notation  $\overline{f(x_1,\ldots,x_k)} := \int_{x_1} \cdots \int_{x_k} f(x_1,\ldots,x_k)$  with the integration defined as  $\int_x := \int d^D x$  (on the torus) the overbar can be thought of as an averaging procedure. To first and second order in 2-D, the only integrals to be evaluated are  $\overline{\mathbb{C}(x)}$  and  $\overline{\mathbb{C}^2(x)}$ . In order to reveal the structure of the expansion we generated all terms up to fourth order. Generally, the terms are of the following form

$$z \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} (\det \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}^{(0)})^{-d/2} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{l} \left( \operatorname{Tr}([\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}^{(0)}]^{-1} \mathbb{D})^{n_i} \right)^{m_i} (-z)^N}{(N+1)!} =: \sum_{j=\min}^{\max} \mathbb{M}_{\left( \substack{m_1 \, m_2 \, \cdots \, m_l \\ n_1 \, n_2 \, \cdots \, n_l} \right)} f_1^{d+2j}(z)$$
$$=: \mathbb{M}_{\left( \substack{m_1 \, m_2 \, \cdots \, m_l \\ n_1 \, n_2 \, \cdots \, n_l} \right)}^{j} f_1^{d+2j}(z) , \quad (20)$$

where max and min are some integers, and summation over the index j is implicit. The precise form of the vector entries  $\mathbb{M}^{j}$  will be reported elsewhere [22]. The renormalized coupling then reads up to fourth order in 2-D (note that we have absorbed a factor of  $2^{d/2}$  in both g and z):

$$g(z) = f_1^{d+2}(z) - (2-D) \frac{d}{2} \mathbb{M}^j_{\binom{1}{1}\binom{1}{0}} f_1^{d+2j}(z) + (2-D)^2 \left[ \frac{d}{4} \mathbb{M}^j_{\binom{1}{2}\binom{1}{2}-1} f_1^{d+2j}(z) + \frac{d^2}{8} \mathbb{M}^j_{\binom{1}{1}\binom{1}{2}-1} f_1^{d+2j}(z) \right] - (2-D)^3 \left[ \frac{d}{4} \mathbb{M}^j_{\binom{1}{3}\binom{1}{3}-3} f_1^{d+2j}(z) + \frac{d^2}{8} \mathbb{M}^j_{\binom{1}{1}\frac{1}{2}\binom{1}{3}-2} f_1^{d+2j}(z) + \frac{d^3}{48} \mathbb{M}^j_{\binom{1}{3}\binom{1}{3}-2} f_1^{d+2j}(z) \right] + (2-D)^4 \left[ \frac{d}{8} \mathbb{M}^j_{\binom{1}{4}\binom{1}{4}-3} f_1^{d+2j}(z) + \frac{d^2}{8} \left( \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{M}^j_{\binom{2}{2}\binom{1}{4}-3} f_1^{d+2j}(z) + \frac{2}{3} \mathbb{M}^j_{\binom{1}{1}\frac{1}{3}\binom{1}{4}-3} f_1^{d+2j}(z) \right) + \frac{d^3}{32} \mathbb{M}^j_{\binom{2}{1}\frac{1}{2}\binom{1}{4}-3} f_1^{d+2j}(z) + \frac{d^4}{384} \mathbb{M}^j_{\binom{1}{4}\binom{1}{4}-3} f_1^{d+2j}(z) \right] + O(2-D)^5$$
(21)

From the integral representation (13) of  $f_1^{d+j}(z)$  and the above expansion, it follows immediately that the exact renormalized coupling can be written as

$$g(z) = z \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}r \; \tilde{g}(r) \; \mathrm{e}^{-z\mathrm{e}^{-r}-r} \;,$$
 (22)

where  $\tilde{g}(r)$  is of the form

$$\tilde{g}(r) = r^{d/2} \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{d+2}{2})} + (2-D) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-n_{\max}}^{n} p_{n_j} r^j (2-D)^n \right].$$
(23)

Let us try to gain more information about the powerlaw behavior in (17), that is about the expansion in 2-D of the correction-to-scaling exponent  $\omega$ . Powerlaw behavior forces the series (23) to turn into some exponentially decaying function  $\tilde{g}(r)$  as can be seen from the asymptotic form of g(z)

$$g(z) \simeq \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}z^{-\omega/\varepsilon} = z \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}r \; \mathrm{e}^{-z \; \mathrm{e}^{-r} - r} \left( \mathcal{A} + \frac{\mathcal{B} \, \mathrm{e}^{-r\omega/\varepsilon}}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{\omega}{\varepsilon})} \right) + O(\mathrm{e}^{-z}) \tag{24}$$

Now, we test a possible form of the exact  $\tilde{g}(r)$ , which is consistent with the expansion (21) and which satisfies the following properties: (i) In the limit of D = 2 the exact form  $r^{d/2}/\Gamma(\frac{d+2}{2})$  emerges and (ii) for D < 2 the corresponding g(z) has a finite fixed-point value together with a strong coupling expansion. The (non-unique) ansatz is

$$\tilde{g}(r) = \mathcal{C} \left( \frac{1 - \mathcal{S}(D, r) e^{-\frac{\omega}{\varepsilon} r}}{\omega/\varepsilon} \right)^{d/2} , \qquad (25)$$

where S(D, r) is analytic in D = 2 of the form  $S(D, r) = 1 + \frac{\omega}{\varepsilon} r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n(r)(2-D)^n$ , and each  $S_n(r)$  has a Laurent expansion  $S_n(r) = \sum_{j=-n_{\min}}^{n_{\max}} s_{n,j} r^j$ . Note, that in the limit of  $D \to 2$ , the expression (25) gives  $r^{d/2}$ , while for D < 2 it yields upon integration the form (24), ensuring both properties (i) and (ii). Inserting  $\omega/\varepsilon = \omega_2(2-D)^2 + O(2-D)^3$  (the linear term in (2-D) has to vanish) into the ansatz (25) and expanding to second order in 2-D provides

$$\tilde{g}(r) = \mathcal{C} r^{d/2} \left[ 1 - \frac{d}{2} \left( \mathcal{S}_1(r)(2-D) + \left( \frac{\omega_2}{2}r - \frac{d-2}{4} \mathcal{S}_1(r)^2 + \mathcal{S}_2(r) \right) (2-D)^2 + \cdots \right) \right].$$
(26)

The first coefficients of the (2-D)-expansion of  $\tilde{g}(r)$  obtained from (21) read

$$\tilde{g}(r) = \frac{r^{d/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{d+2}{2})} \left\{ 1 + (2-D)\frac{d}{2}\overline{\mathbb{C}}\left(1-\frac{d}{2r}\right) - (2-D)^2 \left[\frac{d}{2}\overline{\mathbb{C}_c^2} r + \frac{d}{4}\left(\overline{\mathbb{C}}^2 - 4\overline{\mathbb{C}_c^2}\right) - \frac{d^2}{8}\left(2\overline{\mathbb{C}_c^2} + \overline{\mathbb{C}}^2\right) + \left(\frac{d^2}{8}\left(-\overline{\mathbb{C}}^2 + 3\overline{\mathbb{C}_c^2}\right) + \frac{d^3}{8}\overline{\mathbb{C}}^2\right)r^{-1} - \frac{d^2}{8}\left(\frac{d}{2}-1\right)\left(\overline{\mathbb{C}_c^2} + \frac{d}{2}\overline{\mathbb{C}}^2\right)r^{-2} \right] \right\}.$$
(27)

Comparing (26) and (27), one identifies  $C = 1/\Gamma(\frac{d+2}{2})$ ,  $S_1 = -\overline{\mathbb{C}}(1-\frac{d}{2}\frac{1}{r})$  and  $\omega_2 = 2\overline{\mathbb{C}_c^2}$ , where  $\mathbb{C}_c(x) := \mathbb{C}(x) - \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ . Note that the terms proportional to  $\overline{C}^2$  in  $S_2(r)$  mostly cancel with  $S_1(r)^2$ , a sign that the ansatz catches some structure.

The diagrams to be calculated at this order are  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\overline{\mathbb{C}_c^2}$ . On a manifold of toroidal shape, which is equivalent to periodic boundary conditions, two discrete sums have to be evaluated:

$$\overline{\mathbb{C}} = S_D \left[ \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{1}{k^2} - \frac{1}{2\pi(2-D)} \right] = -0.44956 + 0.3583(2-D) + O(2-D)^2$$
(28)

$$\overline{\mathbb{C}_c^2} = S_D^2 \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{1}{k^4} = 0.152661 + O(2 - D) .$$
<sup>(29)</sup>

k is D-dimensional with components  $k_i = 2\pi/L n_i$ , and  $n_i$  integer. With the results given above, this leads to

$$\omega = 2\varepsilon \overline{\mathbb{C}_c^2} (2-D)^2 + O(2-D)^3 = 0.305322 \varepsilon (2-D)^2 + O(2-D)^3 , \qquad (30)$$

which can be compared to the exact result for D = 1 (polymers):  $\omega = \varepsilon$ . As a caveat, note that the above scheme is not unambiguous, since different ansätze in (25) are possible. Also the second order term proportional to r in (27) could in principle either be attributed to  $\omega_2$  or  $S_2$ . More constraints are necessary to settle this question.

In summary: We have presented a complementary approach to treat the problem of tethered membranes in interaction. We hope that this approach will prove fruitful for self-avoiding tethered membranes, with eventual applications for polymers.

\* \* \*

It is a pleasure to thank H.W. Diehl, A. Ludwig and L. Schäfer for stimulating discussions. This work has been supported by the DFG through the Leibniz program Di 378/2-1, under Heisenberg grant Wi 1932/1-1, and by the NSF under grant PHY99-07949.

## REFERENCES

- D.R. Nelson, T. Piran and S. Weinberg Eds., *Statistical Mechanics of Membranes and Surfaces*, Proceedings of the Fifth Jerusalem Winter School for Theoretical Physics, World Scientific, Singapore, 1989.
- K.J. Wiese, *Polymerized membranes, a review*. Volume 19 of *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, C. Domb and J. Lebowitz, eds., Acadamic Press, London, 2000.
- [3] F. David, B. Duplantier and E. Guitter, *Renormalization of crumpled manifolds*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70** (1993) 2205.
- [4] F. David, B. Duplantier and E. Guitter, *Renormalization theory for interacting crumpled manifolds*, Nucl. Phys. B 394 (1993) 555–664.
- [5] F. David, B. Duplantier and E. Guitter, *Renormalization and hyperscaling for self-avoiding manifold models*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72** (1994) 311.
- [6] F. David, B. Duplantier and E. Guitter, *Renormalization theory for the self-avoiding polymerized membranes*, cond-mat/9702136 (1997).
- [7] M. Bowick and A. Travesset, *The statistical mechanics of membranes*, Phys. Rep. **344** (2001) 255–308.
- [8] Y. Kantor, M. Kardar and D.R. Nelson, *Tethered surfaces: Statics and dynamics*, Phys. Rev. A 35 (1987) 3056.
- Y. Kantor and D.R. Nelson, Crumpling transition in polymerized membranes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58 (1987) 2774–2777.
- [10] S.F. Edwards, *The statistical mechanics of polymers with excluded volume*, Proc. Phys. Soc. **85** (1965) 613.
- [11] J. des Cloizeaux and G. Jannink, *Polymers in Solution, Their Modelling and Structure*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1990.
- [12] L. Schäfer, Excluded Volume Effects in Polymer Solutions, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1999.
- [13] F. David and K.J. Wiese, Scaling of self-avoiding tethered membranes: 2-loop renormalization group results, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 (1996) 4564.
- [14] K.J. Wiese and F. David, New renormalization group results for scaling of self-avoiding tethered membranes, Nucl. Phys. B 487 (1997) 529–632.
- [15] A. Baumgärtner, Does a polymerized membrane crumple?, J. Phys. I France 1 (1991) 1549.
- [16] A. Baumgärtner and W. Renz, Crumpled self-avoiding tethered surfaces, Europhys. Lett. 17 (1992) 381.
- [17] D.M. Kroll and G. Gompper, Floppy tethered networks, J. Phys. I France 3 (1993) 1131.
- [18] G. Thorleifsson M. Bowick, A. Cacciuto and A. Travesset, Universality classes of self-avoiding fixedconnectivity membranes, Eur. Phys. J. E 5 (2001) 149.

- [19] D.R. Nelson and L. Peliti, Fluctuations in membranes with crystalline and hexatic order, J. Physique 48 (1987) 1085.
- [20] B. Duplantier, Interaction of crumpled manifolds with euclidean elements, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62 (1989) 2337.
- [21] H.A. Pinnow and K.J. Wiese, Interacting crumpled manifolds, J. Phys. A 35 (2002) 1195–1229.
- [22] H.A. Pinnow and K.J. Wiese, in preparation.